

## UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101

September 20, 1995

RECEIVED

Reply To Attn Of: SO-155

SEP 2 I 1995

Nancy Roberts Union Pacific Railroad 1416 Dodge Street Omaha, Nebraska 68179 SUPERFUND BRANCH

Bob Lawrence Parcel, Mouro, Hultin & Spaanstra, PC 1801 California Street, Suite 3600 Denver, Colorado 80202

Marita Daly Pillsbury Madison & Sutro P.O. Box 7880 San Francisco, California 94120

Re: Bunker Hill Consent Decree

Dear Ms. Roberts, Mr. Lawrence and Ms. Daly:

Enclosed is a copy of the Order approving and entering the Consent Decree between the Environmental Protection Agency, the State of Idaho and your clients, Union Pacific Railroad Company, Stauffer Management Company and Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. relating to the Bunker Hill Superfund Site. Please note that this Order was entered on September 12, 1995 and that a number of obligations under the Consent Decree commence upon the date of entry of this Consent Decree.

Please telephone me at (206) 553-1777 if you have any questions.

Sincerely

Cynthia L. Mackey / Assistant Regional Counsel

cc: Curt Fransen, Idaho





bcc: Sean Sheldrake, EPA Region 10
Earl Liverman, EPA Region 10
Howard Blood, EPA Region 10
Pete Mounsey, DOJ w/o enclosure

| DISTRICT OF IDAHO          |
|----------------------------|
| SEP 1 2 1995               |
| CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT |
| ByDeputy                   |

# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and STATE OF IDAHO,

Plaintiffs,

Case No. CV 95-0152-N-HLR

vs.

ORDER

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY, STAUFFER MANAGEMENT COMPANY, and RHONE-POULENC, INC.,

Defendants.

On March 24, 1995, a Notice of Lodging Consent Decree (Dkt. #2) was filed with the court and the proposed consent decree was lodged with the court. The requisite commentary period has passed and the limited comments have been addressed by the parties. On July 28, 1995, the United States of America and the State of Idaho moved for the court to enter the consent decree which was lodged with the court. No objection to the motion was filed by any party to the lawsuit and, in accordance with Section XXXIII of the consent decree, the defendants have waived further notice of the decree.

AO 72A (Rev. 8/82)

/7 # ! NORTE 270NG

ORDER - Page 1

The court has reviewed the consent decree and memorandum in support of the motion to enter the consent decree. The court finds that the limited comments of third parties have been adequately addressed by the consent decree; that the settlement terms of the consent decree are "fair, adequate, and reasonable"; and that the consent decree furthers the polices of CERCLA. See, Walsh v. Great Atlantic & Pacific Tea Co., Inc., 726 F.2d 956, 965 (3rd Cir. 1983).

Being fully advised in the premises, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the consent decree lodged on March 24, 1995, is hereby approved and entered as a judgment of this court, and the court clerk is directed to file the consent decree in the record and mail a copy of this order as well as the first page (with the file stamp verification) and page 100 (with the court's signature) of the consent decree to each party. The entire executed consent decree (106 pages) will be available to the parties upon written request to the clerk of the court and a payment of \$15.00 (for copying and postage).

Dated this 12 day of September, 1995.

UNITED STATES DISTRYCT JUDGE

ORDER - Page 2

UAS. COURTS A 11: 14

U.S. DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF IDAHO Filled at

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF IDAHO

SEP 1 2 1995

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT Deputy

CIV 95-0152-N-HLR

CIVIL ACTION NO.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA and STATE OF IDAHO

Plaintiffs,

UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY; STAUFFER MANAGEMENT COMPANY; RHONE-POULENC, INC.

Defendants.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

|      | ALDER OF CONTENTS  |            |  |  |
|------|--|------------|--|--|
| 19   |  | Page       |  |  |
| 20   | I. BACKGROUND  | 2<br>10    |  |  |
| . 21 | III. PARTIES BOUND   | 10<br>11   |  |  |
| 22   | V. GENERAL PROVISIONS  | 18         |  |  |
| 23   | DEFENDANTS   | 27<br>31   |  |  |
| 24   | VIII. EPA PERIODIC REVIEW  | . 33<br>34 |  |  |
| · 25 | X. ACCESS  | 37<br>39   |  |  |
| 26   |  |            |  |  |
| 27   | BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD  CONSENT DECREE - Page 1 December 15, 1994 |            |  |  |
| 28   |  |            |  |  |

1 2

3

4 5

6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

. 14

15

16 17

18

| > 11 | 11   |  |  |  |
|------|--|--|--|--|
| )    |  | COORDINATORS   |  |  |
| 2    | XIII. PROJECT  | COORDINATORS   |  |  |
| -    | XV. CERTIF   | CATION OF COMPLETION 48  |  |  |
| 3    | XVI. EMERGEN   | ICY RESPONSE   |  |  |
| . [  | XVII. PAYMENT  | 'S AND REIMBURSEMENT OF RESPONSE COSTS 54  |  |  |
| 4    |  | FICATION AND INSURANCE   |  |  |
| _ 1  | XIX. FORCE 1   | AJEURE 63  |  |  |
| 5    |  | RESOLUTION   |  |  |
| 6    | VYTT COVENA  | ITS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS   |  |  |
| ٦    |  | TTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS   |  |  |
| 7    |  | OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION  |  |  |
| •    | PROTI  | CCTION   |  |  |
| 8    | XXV. ACCESS  | TO INFORMATION 89  |  |  |
| *    | XXVI. RETENT   | ON OF RECORDS  |  |  |
| 9    | XXVII. NOTICES   | AND SUBMISSIONS  |  |  |
|      | XXVIII. EFFECT   | VE DATE  |  |  |
| 10   | XXIX. RETENT.  | ON OF JURISDICTION 97  |  |  |
| 11   | VVVI COMMINI   | MENTS  |  |  |
| 11   | XXXII MODIFIC  | CATION 98  |  |  |
| 12   | XXXIII. LODGING  | AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT 99  |  |  |
|      | XXXIV. SIGNATO   | RIES/SERVICE   |  |  |
| 13   |  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |  |  |
| 4    |  | CONCERN DECREE   |  |  |
|      |  | CONSENT DECREE   |  |  |
| 15   |  |  |  |  |
| 16   |  | I. BACKGROUND  |  |  |
|      |  |  |  |  |
| 17   | A. T   | ne United States of America ("United States"), on  |  |  |
|      |  |  |  |  |
| 18   | behalf of the Administrator of the United States Environmental |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |  |  |
| 19   | Protection Agency ("EPA") filed a complaint in this matter     |  |  |  |
| 20   | pursuant to Sections 106 and 107 of the Comprehensive          |  |  |  |
| 20   | <b>  </b> -  |  |  |  |
| 21   | Environmental  | Response, Compensation, and Liability Act  |  |  |
|      | 1  |  |  |  |
| 22   | ("CERCLA"), 42   | U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607, and Section 7003 of the   |  |  |
| ~ ~  | December Control   | tion and Docorrows Act ("DCDA") 42 II C C  |  |  |
| 23   | Resource Conservation and Recovery Act ("RCRA"), 42 U.S.C.     |  |  |  |
| 24   | § 6973.  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |  |  |
| 25   |  |  |  |  |
|      |  |  |  |  |
| 26   |  |  |  |  |
| )    |  | THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF TH |  |  |
| -ź7  | 11   | AUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD - Page 2 December 15, 1994   |  |  |
| 20   | CONSENT DECREE   | - rage 2 December 15, 1994   |  |  |
| 28   | II   |  |  |  |

11

8

9

300 (as amended) ("NCP").

be a party to this Consent Decree.

C.

- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16
- 17
- 18 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25

26

27

28

The United States in its complaint seeks,

Hazardous Substance Pollution Contingency Plan, 40 C.F.R. Part

of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(f)(1)(F), EPA formally notified the

responsible parties regarding the implementation of the remedial

design and remedial action for the Site, and EPA has provided the

State with an opportunity to participate in such negotiations and

The State of Idaho ("State") has joined the

EPA formally notified the United States Department

State on November 3, 1992, of negotiations with potentially

complaint against the Defendants pursuant to Section 107 of

of the Interior, the United States Forest Service, and the

potentially responsible parties regarding the release of

resources that are or may be under their trusteeship.

Coeur d'Alene Tribe on November 3, 1992, of negotiations with

hazardous substances that may have resulted in injury to natural

CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607, and relevant state law.

In accordance with the NCP and Section 121(f)(1)(F)

- F. The Defendants that have entered into this Consent Decree do not admit any liability to the Plaintiffs arising out of the transactions or occurrences, including releases, alleged in the complaint.
- Pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, G. EPA placed the Bunker Hill facility on the National Priorities List, set forth at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, Appendix B, by publication in the Federal Register on September 8, 1983, 48 Fed. Reg. 40658.
- H. The Site has been damaged by over 100 years of mining and 65 years of smelting activity, as well as a variety of other natural and man-made events. Heavy metals have been released into soils, surface water and groundwater throughout the Site to varying degrees through a combination of occurrences including airborne particulate dispersion, alluvial deposition of tailings through various mechanisms, including the flooding of the extensive floodplain area within the Site, and other contaminant movement from both on-Site and off-Site sources.
- I. For the purposes of conducting the Remedial Investigation and Feasibility Study ("RI/FS"), the Site has been divided into Populated Areas and Non-Populated Areas. A separate RI/FS and Record of Decision was performed for each of these identified areas.

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

CONSENT DECREE - Page 4

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

12

13

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

**CONSENT DECREE - Page 5** 28

In April 1991, EPA and the State completed the Populated Areas RI/FS. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed plan for the Residential Soil Operable Unit remedial action on April 26-30, 1991, in the Shoshone News Press, a major local newspaper of general circulation. provided an opportunity for written and oral comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial action. hearing was held on May 23, 1991, to answer questions and take comments. A copy of the transcript of the public meeting is available to the public as part of the administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based the selection of the response action.

The decision by EPA on the remedial action to be implemented for the Residential Soil Operable Unit of the Site is embodied in a final Record of Decision (the \*1991 ROD") which was executed on August 30, 1991, by EPA and the State. The 1991 ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(b).

L. In June 1992, EPA and some of the PRPs completed the Non-Populated Areas RI/FS. According to UP and the Stauffer Entities, they participated in the Non-Populated Areas RI/FS. Pursuant to Section 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617, EPA published notice of the completion of the FS and of the proposed

plan for remedial action on June 13, 1992, in the Shoshone News
Press and the Spokesman-Review, major local newspapers of general
circulation. EPA provided an opportunity for written and oral
comments from the public on the proposed plan for remedial
action. A public meeting was held on June 25, 1992, to answer
questions and take comments. A copy of the transcript of the
public meeting is available to the public as part of the
administrative record upon which the Regional Administrator based
the selection of the response action.

- M. The decision by EPA on the remedial action to be implemented for the Non-Populated areas and the remaining populated areas of the Site is embodied in a ROD (the "1992 ROD"), executed on September 22, 1992, by EPA and the State of Idaho. The 1992 ROD includes a responsiveness summary to the public comments. Notice of the final plan was published in accordance with Section 117(b) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9617(b).
- N. Throughout the years, a number of removal actions have been conducted at this Site.
- O. The Panhandle Health District (PHD) has agreed to seek to adopt and implement an environmental health code which will provide the basic regulatory framework for implementation of an Institutional Control Program (ICP). PHD agrees to work with the local governments within the Site to incorporate enabling language into their planning and zoning ordinances that will complement the environmental health code and aid in the

implementation of the ICP. If a local government is unable or does not adopt the necessary enabling provisions, PHD will seek to implement the ICP through its own authorities. The existence of the ICP, as well as the existence of the provisions for the ICP's enforcement, through either the PHD's environmental health code or the planning and zoning ordinances of local governments within the Site, are an acceptable and integral component of remedial actions for the 1991 ROD and 1992 ROD.

This Consent Decree addresses certain enumerated P. liabilities of the Settling Defendants at the Site. Pursuant to this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants are performing specified Work. Settling Defendants are making specified payments to the Plaintiffs for the ICP. The Stauffer Entities are making a specified payment for the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea. The Stauffer Entities are paying a premium to address any past costs at the Site and any liability which the Stauffer Entities may have for the non-NIPC areas of the Site. Union Pacific is paying a premium to address any past costs at the Site and any liability that Union Pacific may have for non-Union Pacific areas at the Site. Pursuant to this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants are receiving the covenants not to sue provided in Section XXII of this Consent Decree and the contribution protection provided in Section XXIV of this Consent Decree.

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

- R. Solely for the purposes of Section 113(j) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(j), the Remedial Action and the Work to be performed by the Settling Defendants shall constitute a response action taken or ordered by the President.
- S. Except as otherwise provided in this Consent Decree, in signing this Decree the Settling Defendants deny any and all legal and equitable liability and reserve all defenses under any federal, state, local or tribal statute, regulation, or common law for any claim, endangerment, nuisance, response, removal, remedial or other costs or damages incurred or to be incurred by the United States, the State, or other entities or persons or any natural resource damages as a result of the release or threat of release of hazardous substances to, at, from or near the Site. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(1)(B), entry of this Consent Decree is not an acknowledgment by Settling Defendants that any release or threatened release of a hazardous substance constituting an imminent and substantial endangerment to human health or the environment has occurred or exists at the Site. Settling Defendants do not admit and retain the right to controvert any of the factual or legal statements or determinations made herein in any judicial or administrative

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

proceeding except in an action to enforce this Consent Decree or Settling Defendants do agree, as provided in Paragraph 100. however, to the Court's jurisdiction over this matter. Consent Decree shall not be admissible in any judicial or administrative proceeding against any Settling Defendant, over its objection, as proof of liability or an admission of any fact dealt with herein, but it shall be admissible in an action to This Consent Decree shall not be enforce this Consent Decree. admissible in any judicial or administrative proceeding brought by or on behalf of any Natural Resource Trustee for natural resource damages, or in any judicial or administrative proceeding brought against any Natural Resource Trustee, over the objection of any Natural Resource Trustee, as proof of or a defense to liability or as an admission of any fact dealt with herein.

The Parties recognize, and the Court by entering T. this Consent Decree finds, that this Consent Decree has been negotiated by the Parties in good faith and implementation of this Consent Decree will expedite the cleanup of the Site and will avoid prolonged and complicated litigation between the Parties, and that this Consent Decree is fair, reasonable, and in the public interest.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is hereby Ordered, Adjudged, and Decreed:

24

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

26

27

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD **CONSENT DECREE - Page 9** 

December 15, 1994

4 5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

This Court has jurisdiction over the subject matter of this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1345, and 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606, 9607, and 9613(b). This Court also has personal jurisdiction over the Settling Defendants. the purposes of this Consent Decree and the underlying complaints, Settling Defendants waive all objections and defenses that they may have to jurisdiction of the Court or to venue in this District. Settling Defendants shall not challenge the terms of this Consent Decree or this Court's jurisdiction to enter and enforce this Consent Decree.

#### PARTIES BOUND III.

- Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent 2. Decree, nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any obligation on or right of action against the United States or the State for the performance of any response actions.
- 3. This Consent Decree applies to and is binding upon the United States and the State and upon Settling Defendants and their heirs, successors, and assigns. Any change in ownership or corporate status of a Settling Defendant including, but not limited to, any transfer of assets or real or personal property shall in no way alter such Settling Defendants' responsibilities under this Consent Decree.

The Settling Defendants shall provide a copy of this Consent Decree to each contractor hired by them, respectively, to 2 perform the Work (as defined below) required by this Consent 3 Decree and to each person representing the Settling Defendants 4 with respect to the Site or the Work and shall condition all 5 contracts entered into hereunder upon performance of the Work in 6 7 conformity with the terms of this Consent Decree. 8 Defendants or their respective contractors shall provide written notice of the Consent Decree to all subcontractors hired to 9 10 perform any portion of the Work required by this Consent Decree. 11 Settling Defendants shall nonetheless be responsible for ensuring that their respective contractors and subcontractors perform the 12 13 Work contemplated herein in accordance with this Consent Decree. With regard to the activities undertaken pursuant to this Consent 14 15 Decree, each contractor and subcontractor shall be deemed to be 16 in a contractual relationship with the Settling Defendants within 17 the meaning of Section 107(b)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(b)(3). 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

#### IV. DEFINITIONS

5. Unless otherwise expressly provided herein, terms used in this Consent Decree which are defined in CERCLA or in regulations promulgated under CERCLA shall have the meaning assigned to them in CERCLA or in such regulations. Whenever terms listed below are used in this Consent Decree or in the

26

- A. "Administrative Record" means all documents, including any attachments, enclosures, or other supporting materials thereto, compiled, indexed by EPA or the State of Idaho and maintained by EPA as the Administrative Records in support of the 1991 ROD or the 1992 ROD;
- B. "CERCLA" means the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act of 1980, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9601, et seq;
- C. "Consent Decree" shall mean this Decree and all attachments hereto which are listed in Section XXX (Attachments). In the event of conflict between this Decree and any Attachment, this Decree shall control;
- D. "Contractor" or "subcontractor" means the company or companies retained by or on behalf of the Settling Defendants to undertake and accomplish the Work and associated activities required by this Consent Decree;
- E. "Day" means a calendar day unless expressly stated to be a working day. "Working day" shall mean a day other than a Saturday, Sunday, or State or Federal holiday. In computing any period of time under this Consent Decree, where the last day would fall on a Saturday, Sunday, or State or Federal holiday, the period shall run until the close of business of the next working day;

F.

G.

4

9

10 11

12

13

14

15

16 17 Plaintiffs).

the Consent Decree;

H.

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 13

"EPA" means the United States Environmental

"Future Response Costs" shall mean all costs,

Protection Agency and any successor departments or agencies;

including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs, that

this Consent Decree in reviewing or developing plans, reports,

and other items pursuant to this Consent Decree, verifying the

Work, or otherwise implementing, overseeing, or enforcing this

Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, payroll costs,

incurred pursuant to Section VII (Additional Response Actions),

Section VIII (Periodic Review), Section X (Access) (including,

including direct and indirect costs, paid by the United States

and the State in connection with the Consent Decree between the

date of lodging of this Consent Decree and the effective date of

provides a regulatory framework to ensure that activities

involving excavations, building, development, construction and

renovation and grading within the Bunker Hill Superfund Site

provide for the installation and maintenance of Barriers and

Future Response Costs shall also include all costs,

"ICP" means the Institutional Control Program which

but not limited to, attorneys fees and the amount of just

Paragraph 92 of Section XXII (Covenants Not To Sue by

compensation), Section XVI (Emergency Response Costs), and

contractor costs, travel costs, laboratory costs, the costs

the United States and the State incur on or after the lodging of

December 15, 1994

5

12

13 14

.15

16 17

18

19

20

21 22

24

23

25 26

27

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 14 28

implementation of other contaminant management standards to preclude the migration of, and particularly, human exposure to contaminants within the Site as necessary to protect the public health and environment;

- "National Contingency Plan" or "NCP" means the National Oil and Hazardous Substances Pollution Contingency Plan promulgated pursuant to Section 105 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9605, codified at 40 C.F.R. Part 300, including, but not limited to, any amendments thereto;
- "NIPC Area" means the North Idaho Phosphate Company J. Area delineated in the map attached as Attachment C which includes the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea and the A-4 Gypsum subarea encompassing portions of Magnet Gulch. this Area the "Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant" subarea or "PAFP subarea" shall mean the subarea designated as such and delineated in the map attached as Attachment C. Also within this Area, the "A-4 Gypsum subarea" shall mean the subarea designated as such and delineated in the map attached as Attachment C;
- Κ. "Operation and Maintenance" or "O & M" means all activities required by the Statement of Work ("SOW") to maintain the effectiveness of the Remedial Action;
- "Paragraph" means a portion of this Consent Decree identified by an Arabic numeral or an upper case letter;
- M. "Parties" means the United States, the State of Idaho, and the Settling Defendants;

- N. "Past Response Costs" shall mean all costs, including, but not limited to, direct and indirect costs and interest, that the United States and the State incurred and paid with regard to the Site prior to lodging of the Consent Decree;
- O. "Performance Standards" means those cleanup standards, standards of control, and other substantive requirements, criteria, or limitations set forth in the RODs, as clarified by the respective SOWs, except that "To Be Considered" criteria referenced in the RODs shall only be deemed Performance Standards if so specified in a SOW;
- P. "Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant Remedial Action" or "PAFP Remedial Action" means the remedial design and remedial action that the Governments will undertake for the PAFP subarea.
- Q. "Plaintiffs" means the United States and the State of Idaho;
- R. "RCRA" means the Solid Waste Disposal Act, as amended, 42 U.S.C. §§ 6901, et seq. (also known as the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act);
- S. "Record(s) of Decision" or "ROD(s)" means both the 1991 ROD and the 1992 ROD, relating to the Site, and all attachments thereto. These RODs are attached hereto as Attachment A and incorporated herein by reference;
- T. "Remedial Action" means those activities, except for O & M, to be undertaken separately by the Settling Defendants to implement the final plans and specifications submitted separately

- U. "Remedial Design Report" (or "RDR") means the document submitted by the Stauffer Entities to implement the Work in the A-4 Gypsum subarea required under this Consent Decree. The draft Stauffer Entities RDR is attached hereto as Attachment G;
- V. "Remedial Action Work Plans" or "RAWP" means the documents submitted separately by the Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree and described more fully in the SOW:
- W. "Respective Areas" means with respect to Union Pacific, the "Union Pacific Area" and with respect to the Stauffer Entities, the "NIPC Area";
- X. "Rhone-Poulenc, Inc." means the New York corporation of said name, which is the successor in interest by merger to Stauffer Chemical Company;
- Y. "Section" means a portion of this Consent Decree identified by a Roman numeral;
- Z. "Settling Defendants" means each company, the
  Stauffer Entities (Stauffer Management Company and Rhone-Poulenc,
  Inc.) and Union Pacific, separately, so that each applicable
  provision applies separately (not jointly) to Union Pacific or
  the Stauffer Entities;

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 16

which flow into the Site;

CC.

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

2425

26

2**7** 

28

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 17

December 15, 1994

setting forth the Work to be performed by each Settling Defendant for its Respective Area, as set forth in Attachments E and F to this Consent Decree, and any modifications made in accordance with this Consent Decree;

"Statement of Work" or "SOW" means the documents

The "Bunker Hill Superfund Site" or "Site" means an

approximately twenty-one (21) square mile area in Shoshone

County, Idaho, running approximately seven (7) miles in the

east-west direction and approximately three (3) miles in the

"State" means the State of Idaho;

north-south direction as more accurately delineated on Attachment

hazardous substances in the South Fork of the Coeur d'Alene River

B, the Bunker Hill Superfund Site Allocation Map, excluding any

DD. "Stauffer Management Company" means the Delaware corporation of said name, which is the indemnitor of certain environmental liabilities of Stauffer Chemical Company, including liabilities of Stauffer Chemical Company that relate to the Site;

EE. "Stauffer Entities" means Stauffer Management
Company and Rhone-Poulenc, Inc.;

FF. "Supervising Contractors" means the Settling

Defendants or the principal contractors retained by the Settling

Defendants to supervise and direct the implementation of the Work

under this Consent Decree;

GG. "Union Pacific Railroad Company" or "Union Pacific" means the Utah Corporation of that name;

HH. "Union Pacific Area" means the area delineated as such on the map attached as Attachment D, including, but not limited to, the railroad Right-Of-Way;

- II. "United States" means the United States of America:
- JJ. "Waste Material" shall mean (1) any "hazardous substance" under Section 101(14) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(14); (2) any pollutant or contaminant under Section 101(33) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9601(33); (3) any "solid waste" under Section 1004(27) of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6903(27); and (4) any "hazardous waste" under Idaho Code § 39-4403(8); and

KK. The "Work" shall mean all activities Settling

Defendants are required to perform separately under this Consent

Decree for their Respective Areas, except those required by

Section XXVI (Retention of Records).

GENERAL PROVISIONS

6. Objectives of the Parties

V.

The objectives of the Parties in entering into this

Consent Decree are to protect public health or welfare or the
environment at the Site by the design and implementation of
response actions at the Site by the Settling Defendants and to
reimburse response costs of the Plaintiffs. By entering into

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 18

December 15, 1994

this Consent Decree, the Parties also intend to resolve claims and liabilities as set forth in this Consent Decree.

#### 7. Approval of SOWs

The United States and the State have reviewed and approved the SOWs attached hereto, and have found them consistent with the RODs, the NCP, and the requirements of relevant EPA remedial design guidance documents. The United States and State have reviewed the draft RDR, specified in the SOW, which establishes the conceptual design for the development of the final draft RDR. Union Pacific has submitted a draft RAWP which is attached hereto and which will be reviewed and finalized in accordance with the Consent Decree.

#### 8. Commitments by the Stauffer Entities

- a. The Stauffer Entities shall finance and perform the Work as it relates to the NIPC Area in accordance with this Consent Decree and all plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth in or developed and approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree. The Stauffer Entities shall also reimburse the United States and the State for Future Response Costs as provided in and limited by this Consent Decree.
- b. The Stauffer Entities shall finance and perform the activities required by the RODs as set forth in the relevant SOW (Attachment E) and the RDR (Attachment G) for the A-4 Gypsum subarea. This includes Remedial Design and Remedial Action for

- c. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent
  Decree, the Stauffer Entities shall pay one hundred fifty
  thousand dollars (\$ 150,000) to finance their portion of an
  Institutional Controls Program for the Site. This payment shall
  be paid to the State of Idaho which will place this money in a
  trust fund for use in implementing aspects of the Institutional
  Controls Program. This payment shall constitute full
  satisfaction of the Stauffer Entities' obligations for the ICP.
- d. Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Decree, the Stauffer Entities shall pay a premium of five hundred thousand dollars (\$ 500,000) to EPA, and five hundred thousand dollars (\$ 500,000) to the State of Idaho. The Plaintiffs shall utilize the premium for remedial action and operation and maintenance activities within the Site. The provision of such remedial action shall not require the assurances of Section 104(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(c)(3).
- e. Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent

  Decree, the Stauffer Entities shall pay EPA eight hundred and

  fifty thousand dollars (\$ 850,000) to finance the Remedial Design

  and Remedial Action, and any Operation and Maintenance for the

  Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant. The Governments will perform

  the PAFP Remedial Action in a manner fully consistent with RODs.

  Within a reasonable time after the completion of the PAFP

f. The obligations of the Stauffer Entities to finance and perform their obligations and to pay amounts owed the United States and the State under this Consent Decree are solely the obligations of the Stauffer Entities and are not joint or several obligations of Union Pacific.

### 9. Commitments by Union Pacific

- a. Union Pacific shall finance and perform the Work as it relates to the Union Pacific Area in accordance with this Consent Decree and all plans, standards, specifications, and schedules set forth in or developed and approved by EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree. Union Pacific shall also reimburse the United States and the State for Future Response Costs as provided in this Consent Decree.
- b. Union Pacific shall finance and perform the activities required by the RODs as set forth in the Union Pacific Statement of Work and the Union Pacific RAWP for the Union Pacific Area. Union Pacific's obligations include the Remedial Design and the Remedial Action for the Union Pacific Right-Of-Way and the long term Operation and Maintenance of the Right-Of-Way. Union Pacific will have access to a repository at the Site for disposal of Waste Materials, including treated Waste Materials, from the Union Pacific Area prior to certification of completion of the Remedial Action at no cost to Union Pacific, except that

- c. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent

  Decree, Union Pacific shall pay one hundred fifty thousand

  dollars (\$ 150,000) to finance its portion of an Institutional

  Controls Program for the Site. This payment shall be paid to the

  State of Idaho which will place this money in a trust fund for

  use in implementing aspects of the Institutional Controls

  Program. This payment shall constitute full satisfaction of

  Union Pacific's obligations for the ICP.
- d. Within thirty (30) days of entry of this Consent Decree, Union Pacific shall pay a premium of four hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$ 425,000) to EPA and four hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$ 425,000) to the State of Idaho. The Plaintiffs shall utilize the premium for remedial action and operation and maintenance activities within the Site. The provision of such remedial action shall not require the assurances of Section 104(c)(3) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(c)(3).
- e. The obligations of Union Pacific to finance and perform its obligations and to pay amounts owed the United States and the State under this Consent Decree are solely the

. 8

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 22

December 15, 1994

obligations of Union Pacific and are not joint or several obligations of the Stauffer Entities.

#### 10. Termination of Administrative Orders

Upon entry of this Consent Decree, any and all Administrative Orders relating to the Site existing prior to the date of lodging, including the following Administrative Orders, shall be deemed satisfied and withdrawn as to the Settling Defendants: Administrative Order and Settlement Agreement for 1990 Residential Removal Action at the Bunker Hill Superfund Site, EPA Docket No. 1090-05-35-106; Bunker Hill Superfund Site Administrative Order on Consent: Hillsides Revegetation/ Stabilization and Removal Action, EPA Docket No. 1090-10-01-106; Administrative Order on Consent for 1991 Removal Action at the Bunker Hill Superfund Site, EPA Docket No. 1091-06-17-106(A); Administrative Order on Consent for 1992 Removal Action at the Bunker Hill Superfund Site, EPA Docket No. 1092-04-14-106; and Unilateral Administrative Order for Portion of the Bunker Hill Residential Soils Remedial Design and Remedial Action No. 1093-08-14-106 (August 24, 1993).

#### 11. Compliance With Applicable Law

All activities undertaken by Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall be performed in accordance with the requirements of all applicable Federal and State laws and regulations. Settling Defendants must also comply with all applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements of all

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 23

December 15, 1994

Federal and State environmental laws as set forth in the RODs as clarified by the respective SOWs, except that "To Be Considered" criteria referenced in the RODs shall only be considered applicable or relevant and appropriate requirements if so specified in an SOW. The activities conducted pursuant to this Consent Decree, if approved by EPA, shall be considered to be consistent with the NCP.

#### 12. Permits

.20

- a. As provided in Section 121(e) of CERCLA,

  42 U.S.C. § 9621(e), and § 300.5 of the NCP, no permit shall be
  required for any portion of the Work conducted entirely on-Site.

  Where any portion of the Work requires a federal or state permit
  or approval, Settling Defendants shall submit timely and complete
  applications and take all other actions necessary to obtain all
  such permits or approvals.
- b. The Settling Defendants may seek relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) of this Consent Decree for any delay in the performance of the Work resulting from a failure to obtain, or a delay in obtaining, any permit required for the Work.
- c. This Consent Decree is not, and shall not be construed to be, a permit issued pursuant to any federal or state statute or regulation, nor shall any releases at or from the Site subsequent to entry of this Consent Decree constitute federally permitted releases unless such releases are made in compliance

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 24

#### 13. Notice of Obligations to Successors-in-Title

- Within thirty (30) days after entry of this Consent a. Decree, any Settling Defendant who owns property within the Site shall record a certified copy of this Consent Decree with the Recorder's Office in Shoshone County, State of Idaho. Alternatively, within thirty (30) days after entry of this Consent Decree, any Settling Defendant who owns property within the Site shall submit for EPA approval under Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval), a listing of the county assessor's parcel number for the property owned by such Settling Defendant within the Site and a summary of the terms of this Consent Decree. This summary shall include a description of where the full Consent Decree can be found. Upon approval of its summary, the Settling Defendant shall have fifteen (15) days to submit for recording by the appropriate recorder's office in Shoshone County, State of Idaho, the summary of the terms of this Consent Decree as approved by EPA.
- b. Thereafter, each deed, title, or other instrument conveying an interest in the property of such Settling Defendants included in the Site shall contain a notice stating that the property is subject to this Consent Decree and any lien retained by the United States, and shall reference the recorded location

25

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- c. The obligations of each Settling Defendant with respect to the provision of access under Section X (Access) and the implementation of any applicable institutional controls shall be binding upon such Settling Defendants and any and all persons who subsequently acquire any such interest or portion thereof (hereinafter "Successors-in-Title"). Within thirty (30) days after the entry of this Consent Decree, each Settling Defendant who owns property within the Site shall record at the appropriate Recorder's Office a notice of obligation to provide access under Section X (Access) and related covenants. Each subsequent instrument conveying an interest to any such property included in the Site shall reference the recorded location of such notice and covenants applicable to the property.
- d. Any Settling Defendant and any Successor-in-Title shall, at least thirty (30) days prior to the conveyance of any such interest, give written notice of this Consent Decree to the grantee and written notice to EPA and the State of the proposed conveyance, including the name and address of the grantee, and the date on which notice of the Consent Decree was given to the grantee. In the event of any such conveyance, the Settling Defendants' obligations under this Consent Decree, including their obligations to provide or secure access pursuant to Section X (Access), shall continue to be met by the Settling Defendants.

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 26

December 15, 1994

In addition, if the United States and the State approve, the 1 grantee may perform some or all of the Work under this Consent Decree; provided, however, the grantee may, upon notice by the Settling Defendants to the United States and State, perform the Operation and Maintenance without prior approval by the United States and the State. In no event shall the conveyance of an interest in property that includes, or is a portion of, the Site release or otherwise affect the liability of the Settling Defendants to comply with the Consent Decree. 11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

## VI.

PERFORMANCE OF THE WORK BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

Selection of Supervising Contractor. 14.

All aspects of the Work to be performed by Settling a. Defendants pursuant to Sections VI (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendants), VII (Additional Response Actions), VIII (EPA Periodic Review), and IX (Quality Assurance, Sampling and Data Analysis) of this Consent Decree shall be under the direction and supervision of the Supervising Contractor, the selection of which shall be subject to disapproval by EPA after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State. Within thirty (30) days after the lodging of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall notify EPA and the State, in writing, of the name, title, and qualifications of any contractor proposed to be a Supervising Contractor. EPA will issue a notice of disapproval or an authorization to proceed. If at any time

26

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 27

thereafter Settling Defendants propose to change a Supervising Contractor, Settling Defendants shall give such notice to EPA and the State and must obtain an authorization to proceed from EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, before the new Supervising Contractor performs, directs, or supervises any Work under this Consent Decree.

- b. If EPA disapproves a proposed Supervising
  Contractor, EPA will notify Settling Defendants, in writing.
  Settling Defendants shall submit to EPA and the State a list of contractors, including the qualifications of each contractor, that would be acceptable to them within thirty (30) days of receipt of EPA's disapproval of the contractor previously proposed. EPA will provide written notice of the names of any contractor(s) that it disapproves and an authorization to proceed with respect to any of the other contractors. Settling
  Defendants may select any contractor from that list that is not disapproved and shall notify EPA and the State of the name of the contractor selected within twenty-one (21) days of EPA's authorization to proceed.
- c. If EPA fails to provide written notice of its authorization to proceed or disapproval as provided in this paragraph and this failure prevents the Settling Defendants from meeting one or more deadlines in a plan approved by the EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants may seek

relief under the provisions of Section XIX (Force Majeure) hereof.

#### 15. Remedial Design and Remedial Action

- a. All Work under this Consent Decree is subject to approval by EPA. Settling Defendants shall, in accordance with their respective SOWs, prepare and submit required deliverables for approval by EPA pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval). Settling Defendants shall implement the Work upon approval by EPA, in consultation with the State, of the deliverables required by the SOWs, including the Health and Safety Plans, the Quality Assurance Project Plans, the Sampling Plan, or other plans, designs or reports.
- b. Settling Defendants shall submit deliverables and perform the Work, required under their respective SOWs, RDR and RAWPs, in accordance with the schedules set forth and referred to therein. Once deliverables are approved pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval), they shall be deemed incorporated into and be enforceable under this Consent Decree by this reference.
- 16. Settling Defendants shall only commence on-Site physical activities required to implement the Work with EPA's approval.
- 17. The Work performed by the Settling Defendants pursuant to this Consent Decree shall include the obligation to achieve the Performance Standards.

1.0

1.3

BUNKER HILL-STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 29

12

8

9

Standards.

19.

13

14

15 16

17

18

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 30

December 15, 1994

The

shipments when the total volume of all such shipments will not exceed ten (10) cubic yards. 19 The Settling Defendants shall include in the written 20 notification the following information, where available: (1) the name and location of the facility to which the Waste Material is to be shipped; (2) the type and quantity of the Waste Material to be shipped; (3) the expected schedule for the shipment of the

Waste Material; and (4) the method of transportation.

provide written notification to the appropriate state

Settling Defendants acknowledge and agree that

compliance with all terms and conditions of this Consent Decree,

shipment of Waste Material to an out-of-state waste management

facility or any intra-state off-site shipment of hazardous waste,

environmental official in the receiving facility's state and to

the EPA Project Coordinator of such shipment. However, this

notification requirement shall not apply to any off-Site

Settling Defendants shall, prior to any off-Site

including, but not limited to, the applicable Performance

Settling Defendants shall notify the state in which the planned receiving facility is located of major changes in the shipment plan, such as a decision to ship the Waste Material to another facility within the same state, or to a facility in another state.

b. If it is determined that waste will be shipped to a waste management facility, the identity of the receiving facility and state will be determined by the Settling Defendants following the award of the contract for Remedial Action construction. The Settling Defendants shall provide the information required by Paragraph 19(a) as soon as practicable after the award of the contract and before the Waste Material is actually shipped.

## VII. ADDITIONAL RESPONSE ACTIONS

- 20. In the event that prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action pursuant to Paragraph 52.b, EPA determines or a Settling Defendant proposes that additional response actions are necessary in either of the Respective Areas to meet the Performance Standards or to carry out the remedy selected in the ROD as clarified by the SOWs, RDR, and RAWPs, notification of such additional response actions shall be provided to the appropriate Project Coordinator for the other parties.
- 21. Within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice from EPA pursuant to Paragraph 20 that additional response actions are

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 31

December 15, 1994

necessary (or such longer time as may be specified by EPA), the Settling Defendant for the Area shall submit for approval by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, a work plan for the additional response actions. Upon approval of the plan pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval), the Settling Defendant shall implement the plan for additional response actions in accordance with the schedule contained therein.

- Defendants propose are necessary to meet the Performance
  Standards or to carry out the remedy selected in the ROD, as
  clarified by the SOWs, RDR, and RAWPs, shall be subject to
  approval by EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and
  comment by the State, and, if authorized by EPA, shall be
  completed by the Settling Defendants in accordance with plans,
  specifications, and schedules approved or established by EPA
  pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval).
- 23. Settling Defendants may invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) to dispute EPA's determination that additional response actions are necessary to meet the Performance Standards or to carry out the remedy selected in the ROD, as clarified by the SOWs, RDR and RAWPs. Such a dispute shall be resolved pursuant to Paragraphs 67-70 of this Consent Decree.

.25

Settling Defendants shall conduct any studies and

24.

**7** 

conduct reviews of the Remedial Action at least every five (5)
years as required by Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C.
§ 9621(c), and any applicable regulations to assure that human
health and the environment are being protected by the Remedial
Action.

25. If required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA,

investigations as requested by EPA in order to permit EPA to

25. If required by Sections 113(k)(2) or 117 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9613(k)(2) or 9617, Settling Defendants and the public will be provided with an opportunity to comment on any further response actions proposed by EPA as a result of the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), and to submit written comments for the record during the public comment period. After the period for submission of written comments is closed, the Regional Administrator, EPA Region 10, or his/her delegate will determine in writing whether further response actions are appropriate.

26. If the Regional Administrator, EPA Region 10, or his/her delegate determines that information received, in whole or in part, during the review conducted pursuant to Section 121(c) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9621(c), indicates that the Remedial Action is not protective of human health and the environment, the Settling Defendants shall undertake any further response actions for their Respective Areas EPA has determined

are appropriate, unless their liability for such further response 1 actions is barred by the Covenants Not to Sue set forth in 2 Section XXII (Covenants Not To Sue By Plaintiff). The Settling 3 Defendants shall submit a plan for such work to EPA for approval 4 in accordance with the procedures set forth in Section VI 5 (Performance of the Work by Settling Defendants) and shall 6 implement the plan approved by EPA. The Settling Defendants may 7 invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute 8 Resolution) to dispute (1) EPA's determination that the Remedial 9 Action is not protective of human health and the environment, 10 (2) EPA's selection of the further response actions ordered as 11 arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law, 12 or (3) EPA's determination that the Settling Defendants' 13 liability for the further response actions requested is reserved 14 in Paragraphs 86, 87, or 91 or otherwise not barred by the 15 Covenants Not to Sue set forth in Section XXII (Covenants Not To 16 17 Sue By Plaintiff).

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

# IX. QUALITY ASSURANCE, SAMPLING, and DATA ANALYSIS

27. Settling Defendants shall use quality assurance, quality control, and chain-of-custody procedures for all samples in accordance with EPA's "Interim Guidelines and Specifications For Preparing Quality Assurance Project Plans," December 1980, (QAMS-005/80); "Data Quality Objective Guidance," (EPA/540/G87/003 and 004); "EPA NEIC Policies and Procedures

26

25

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

CONSENT DECREE - Page 34

December 15, 1994

Manual, " May 1978, revised November 1984, (EPA 330/9-78-001-R); and subsequent amendments to such guidelines upon written notification by EPA to Settling Defendants of such amendment. Amended guidelines shall apply only to procedures conducted after such notification. Prior to the commencement of any monitoring project under this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall submit to EPA for approval, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, Quality Assurance Project Plans ("QAPP") that are consistent with the SOW, the NCP, and applicable guidance documents. If relevant to the proceeding, the Parties agree that validated sampling data generated in accordance with the QAPP(s) and reviewed and approved by EPA shall be admissible as evidence, without objection, in any proceeding under this Decree. Settling Defendants shall ensure that EPA and State personnel and their authorized representatives are allowed access at reasonable times to all laboratories utilized by Settling Defendants in implementing this Consent In addition, Settling Defendants shall ensure that such Decree. laboratories shall analyze all samples submitted by EPA pursuant to the QAPP for quality assurance monitoring. Defendants shall ensure that the laboratories they utilize for the analysis of samples taken pursuant to this Decree perform all analyses according to accepted or approved EPA methods. Settling Defendants shall ensure that all laboratories they use for

25

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 35

December 15, 1994

- split or duplicate samples to be taken by EPA and the State or their authorized representatives. Settling Defendants shall notify EPA and the State not less than fourteen (14) days in advance of any sample collection activity unless shorter notice is agreed to by EPA. In addition, EPA and the State shall have the right to take any additional samples related to performance of the Work or implementation of the Consent Decree that EPA or the State deems necessary. EPA and the State shall provide reasonable notice to the Settling Defendants whenever such samples will be taken. Upon request, EPA and the State shall allow the Settling Defendants to take split or duplicate samples of any samples they take as part of the Plaintiffs' oversight of the Settling Defendants' implementation of the Work.
- 29. Settling Defendants shall submit to EPA and the State four (4) copies of the results of all sampling and/or tests or other data obtained or generated by or on behalf of Settling Defendants with respect to the Work or the implementation of this Consent Decree unless EPA agrees otherwise.
- 30. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent
  Decree, the United States and the State hereby retain all of
  their information gathering and inspection authorities and

rights, including enforcement actions related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable statutes or regulations.

## X. ACCESS

- 31. Commencing upon the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants agree to provide the United States, the State, and their representatives, including EPA and its contractors, access at all reasonable times to the Site and any other property to which access is required for the implementation of this Consent Decree, to the extent access to such property is controlled by Settling Defendants, for the purposes of conducting any activity related to this Consent Decree including, but not limited to:
  - a. Monitoring the Work;
  - Verifying any data or information submitted to the United States;
  - c. Conducting investigations relating to contamination at or near the Site;
  - d. Obtaining samples;
  - e. Assessing the need for, planning, or implementing additional response actions at or near the Site;
  - f. Inspecting and copying records, operating logs, contracts, or other documents maintained or generated by Settling Defendants or their agents in accordance with Section XXV (Access To Information); and
  - g. Assessing Settling Defendants' compliance with this Consent Decree.
- 32. To the extent that the Site or any other property to which access is required for the implementation of this Consent

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 37

December 15, 1994

26

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Decree is owned or controlled by persons other than Settling Defendants, Settling Defendants shall use best efforts to secure 2 from such persons access for Settling Defendants, as well as for 3 the United States and the State and their representatives, 4 including, but not limited to, their contractors, as necessary to 5 effectuate this Consent Decree. For the purposes of this 6 paragraph "best efforts" includes the payment of reasonable sums 7 of money in consideration of access. To the extent property is 8 owned by a Potentially Responsible Party (PRP) identified by EPA, 9 "best efforts" will not require payment. If any access required 10 to complete the Work is not obtained within forty-five days of 11 the date of lodging of this Consent Decree, or within forty-five 12 (45) days of the date EPA notifies the Settling Defendants, in 13 writing, that additional access beyond that previously secured is 14 necessary, Settling Defendants shall promptly notify the United 15 States, and shall include in that notification a summary of the 16 steps Settling Defendants have taken to attempt to obtain access. 17 The United States or the State may, as it deems appropriate, 18 assist Settling Defendants in obtaining access. 19 Defendants shall reimburse the United States or the State, in 20 accordance with the procedures in Section XVII (Reimbursement of 21 Response Costs), for all costs incurred in obtaining access. 22

33. Notwithstanding any provision of this Consent

Decree, the United States and the State retain all of their

access authorities and rights, including enforcement authorities

26

23

24

25

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 38

related thereto, under CERCLA, RCRA, and any other applicable statute or regulations.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

## XI. REPORTING REQUIREMENTS

In addition to any other requirement of this Consent 34. Decree, the Settling Defendants shall submit four (4) copies to EPA and two (2) copies to the State of written monthly progress reports that: (a) describe the actions taken toward achieving compliance with this Consent Decree during the previous month; (b) include a summary of all results of sampling and tests and all other data received or generated by the Settling Defendants or their contractors or agents in connection with implementation of this Consent Decree in the previous month unless such information has already been submitted to EPA and the State; (c) identify all deliverables required by this Consent Decree completed and submitted during the previous month; (d) describe all actions, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of the SOWs, which are scheduled for the next month, and provide other information relating to the progress of activities, including, but not limited to, as relevant, critical path diagrams, Gantt charts and Pert charts; (e) include information regarding percentage of completion, unresolved delays encountered or anticipated that may affect the future schedule for implementation of the Work, and a description of efforts made to mitigate those delays or anticipated delays; (f) include any

26

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 39

December 15, 1994

modifications to any work plans, or schedules that Settling
Defendants have proposed to EPA and the State or that have been
approved by EPA; and (g) describe all activities undertaken in
support of the Community Relations Plan during the previous month
and those to be undertaken in the next month. Settling
Defendants shall submit these progress reports to EPA and the
State by the tenth (10th) day of every month following the
lodging of this Consent Decree until EPA notifies the Settling
Defendants pursuant to Paragraph 53(b) of Section XV
(Certification of Completion). If requested by EPA or the State,
Settling Defendants shall also provide briefings for EPA or the
State to discuss the progress of the Work.

- 35. The Settling Defendants shall notify EPA and the State of any change in the schedule described in the monthly progress report for the performance of any activity, including, but not limited to, data collection and implementation of the SOWs and any work plans, no later than seven (7) days prior to the performance of the activity.
- 36. Upon the occurrence of any event during performance of the Work that Settling Defendants are required to report pursuant to Section 103 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9603, or Section 304 of the Emergency Planning and Community Right-to-know Act (EPCRA), 42 U.S.C. § 11004, Settling Defendants shall within twenty-four (24) hours of the onset of such event orally notify the EPA Project Coordinator or the Alternate EPA Project

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 40

Coordinator (in the event of the unavailability of the EPA Project Coordinator), or, in the event that neither the EPA Project Coordinator or Alternate EPA Project Coordinator is available, the Emergency Response Section, Region 10, United States Environmental Protection Agency. Settling Defendants shall also notify the Project Coordinator for the State. These reporting requirements are in addition to the reporting required by CERCLA Section 103 or EPCRA Section 304.

- 37. Within twenty (20) days of the onset of such an event, Settling Defendants shall furnish to Plaintiffs a written report, signed by the Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator, setting forth the events which occurred and the measures taken, and to be taken, in response thereto. Within thirty (30) days of the conclusion of such an event, the Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator shall submit a report setting forth all actions taken in response thereto.
- 38. The Settling Defendants shall submit four (4) copies to EPA of all plans, reports, and data required by the SOWs or any other approved work plans in accordance with the schedules set forth in such plans. The Settling Defendants shall submit two (2) copies of all such plans, reports, and data to the State.
- 39. All reports and other documents submitted by Settling Defendants to EPA and the State, other than the monthly progress reports referred to above, which purport to document Settling Defendants' compliance with the terms of this Consent

Decree shall be signed and submitted by the Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator.

3

4

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

2

1

### XII. SUBMISSIONS REQUIRING AGENCY APPROVAL

- 40. After review of any plan, report, or other item which is required to be submitted for approval pursuant to this Consent Decree, EPA, after reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, shall: (a) approve, in whole or in part, the submission; (b) approve the submission upon specified conditions; (c) modify the submission to cure the deficiencies; (d) disapprove, in whole or in part, the submission, directing that the Settling Defendants modify the submission; or (e) any combination of the above.
- 41. In the event of approval, approval upon conditions, or modification by EPA, pursuant to Subparagraph 40(a), (b), or (c), Settling Defendants shall proceed to take any action required by the plan, report, or other item, as approved or modified by EPA subject only to their right to invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) with respect to the modifications or conditions made In the event that EPA modifies the submission to cure by EPA. the deficiencies pursuant to Paragraph 40(c) and the submission has a material defect, EPA retains its right to seek stipulated penalties, as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

25

26

27

pursuant to Paragraph 40(d), Settling Defendants shall, within fourteen (14) days or such other time as specified by EPA in such notice, correct the deficiencies and resubmit the plan, report, or other item for approval. Any stipulated penalties applicable to the submission, as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties), shall continue to accrue during the fourteen (14) day period or otherwise specified period but shall not be payable unless the resubmission is disapproved or modified due to a material defect as provided in Paragraphs 43 and 44.

b. Notwithstanding the receipt of a notice of disapproval pursuant to Paragraph 40(d), Settling Defendants shall proceed, at the direction of EPA, to take any action required by any non-deficient portion of the submission.

Implementation of any non-deficient portion of a submission shall not relieve Settling Defendants of any liability for stipulated penalties under Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties) as to any deficient portion.

43. In the event that a resubmitted plan, report or other item, or portion thereof, is disapproved by EPA, EPA may again require the Settling Defendants to correct the deficiencies, or may itself address the deficiencies, in accordance with the preceding paragraphs. EPA also retains the right to amend or develop the plan, report or other item.

Settling Defendants shall implement any such plan, report, or

item as amended or developed by EPA, subject only to their right to invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

If upon resubmission, a plan, report, or item is disapproved or modified by EPA due to a material defect, Settling Defendants shall be deemed to have failed to submit such plan, report, or item timely and adequately unless the Settling Defendants invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and EPA's action is overturned pursuant to that Section. The provisions of Section XX (Dispute Resolution) and Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties) shall govern the implementation of the Work and accrual and payment of any stipulated penalties during Dispute Resolution. disapproval or modification is upheld, stipulated penalties shall accrue for such violation from the date on which the initial submission was originally required, as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties), and shall continue to accrue for thirty (30) days after the due date of the resubmission after which date stipulated penalties shall stop accruing unless and until EPA notifies Settling Defendants that it has modified or disapproved the resubmittal because it contains a material defect, upon which date accrual of stipulated penalties shall resume and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity.

25

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

1.1

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

45. All plans, reports, and other items required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree shall, upon approval or modification by EPA, be enforceable under this Consent Decree. In the event EPA approves or modifies a portion of a plan, report, or other item required to be submitted to EPA under this Consent Decree, the approved or modified portion shall be enforceable under this Consent Decree.

### XIII. PROJECT COORDINATORS

Within twenty (20) days of lodging this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants, the State, and EPA will notify each other, in writing, of the name, address, and telephone number of their designated Project Coordinators and Alternate Project Coordinators. If a Project Coordinator or Alternate Project Coordinator initially designated is changed, the identity of the successor will be given to the other parties at least five (5) working days before the changes occur, unless impracticable, but in no event later than the actual day the The Settling Defendants' Project Coordinators change is made. shall be subject to disapproval by EPA, which disapproval shall not be unreasonably invoked, and shall have the technical expertise sufficient to adequately oversee all aspects of the The Settling Defendants' Project Coordinator's shall not be an attorney for any of the Settling Defendants in this matter. The Settling Defendants' Project Coordinators may assign other

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 45

- Plaintiffs may designate other representatives, including, but not limited to, EPA and State employees, and federal and State contractors and consultants, to observe and monitor the progress of any activity undertaken pursuant to this Consent Decree. EPA's Project Coordinator and Alternate Project Coordinator shall have the authority lawfully vested in a Remedial Project Manager ("RPM") and an On-Scene Coordinator ("OSC") by the NCP, 40 C.F.R. Part 300. In addition, the EPA Project Coordinator, his/her alternate or, to the extent consistent with the Memorandum of Agreement between EPA and the State, the State Project Coordinator or his/her alternate shall have authority, consistent with the NCP, to halt any Work required by this Consent Decree and to take any necessary response action when s/he determines that conditions at the Site constitute an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment due to release or threatened release of Waste Material.
- 48. The respective Project Coordinators will meet with EPA and the State, at a minimum, on a monthly basis unless otherwise determined by EPA. This meeting may be held by telephone conference.

25

1

2

3

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

49. EPA and the State have entered into a Memorandum of Agreement ("MOA") which defines the respective roles of EPA and the State and is attached hereto as Attachment I. Pursuant to this MOA, the State will have significant oversight responsibilities.

### XIV. ASSURANCE OF ABILITY TO COMPLETE WORK

50. Within sixty (60) days of entry of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall establish and maintain sufficient financial assurance for performance of their Respective Work in one of the following forms:

- (a) A surety bond guaranteeing performance of their Respective Work;
- (b) One or more irrevocable letters of credit equalling the total estimated cost of their Respective Work;
- (c) A trust fund;
- (d) A guarantee to perform their Respective Work by one or more parent corporations or subsidiaries, or by one or more unrelated corporations that have a substantial business relationship with at least one of the Settling Defendants; or
- (e) A demonstration that the Settling Defendant satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f).
- 51. If the Settling Defendants seek to demonstrate the ability to complete their Respective Work through a guarantee by a third party pursuant to Paragraph 50(d) of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall demonstrate that the guarantor satisfies the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). If

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 47

December 15, 1994

Settling Defendants seek to demonstrate their ability to complete their Respective Work by means of the financial test or the corporate guarantee pursuant to Paragraph 50(d) or (e), they shall resubmit sworn statements conveying the information required by 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f) annually, on or before the end of the first quarter of each calendar year. In the event that EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, determines at any time that the financial assurances provided pursuant to this Section are inadequate, Settling Defendants shall, within thirty (30) days of receipt of notice of EPA's determination, obtain and present to EPA for approval one of the other forms of financial assurance listed in Paragraph 50 of this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants! inability to demonstrate financial ability to complete their Respective Work shall not excuse performance of any activities required under this Consent Decree.

17

2

3

4

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

# XV. CERTIFICATION OF COMPLETION

## 52. Completion of a Remedial Action

a. Within ninety (90) days after either Settling

Defendant concludes that its respective Remedial Action has been
fully performed and the Performance Standards have been attained
in accordance with the RODs as clarified by the applicable SOWs,
the Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a precertification inspection to be attended by Settling Defendant,

26

EPA, and the State. If, after the pre-certification inspection. the Settling Defendant still believes that the Remedial Action has been fully performed and the Performance Standards have been attained in accordance with the RODs as clarified by the SOWs, it shall submit a written report requesting certification to EPA for approval, with a copy to the State, pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval) within thirty (30) days of the inspection. In the report, a registered professional engineer shall state that the Remedial Action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of the applicable SOW, RDR and RAWP. In the report, the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The written report shall include as-built drawings signed and stamped by a professional engineer. report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of the Settling Defendant or the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

"To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

If, after completion of the pre-certification inspection and receipt and review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by the State, determines that the Remedial Action has not been completed in accordance with

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 49

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

1.1

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

this Consent Decree or that the Performance Standards have not been achieved, EPA will notify the Settling Defendant, in writing, of the activities that must be undertaken to complete the Remedial Action and achieve the Performance Standards and require the Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency Approval). The Settling Defendant shall perform all activities described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established pursuant to this paragraph, subject to its right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

b. If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any subsequent report requesting Certification of Completion and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the Remedial Action is fully performed and the Performance Standards have been achieved in accordance with the RODs as clarified by the SOWs, EPA will so certify in writing to the Settling Defendant. This certification shall constitute the Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action for purposes of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs). Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action shall not affect the Settling Defendant's obligations under this Consent Decree that continue beyond the Certification of Completion.

# 53. Completion of the Work

Within ninety (90) days after either Settling Defendant concludes that all phases of its respective Work (including O & M) have been fully performed, the Settling Defendant shall schedule and conduct a pre-certification inspection to be attended by EPA and the State. If, after the pre-certification inspection, the Settling Defendant still believes that the Work has been fully performed, the Settling Defendant shall submit a written report by a registered professional engineer stating that the Work has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of the applicable SOWs, RDR and RAWPs. In the report, the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator shall state that the Remedial Action has been completed in full satisfaction of the requirements of this Consent Decree. The report shall contain the following statement, signed by a responsible corporate official of the Settling Defendant or the Settling Defendant's Project Coordinator:

"To the best of my knowledge, after thorough investigation, I certify that the information contained in or accompanying this submission is true, accurate and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."

If, after review of the written report, EPA, after reasonable opportunity to review and comment by the State, determines that any portion of the Work has not been completed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will notify Settling Defendant in writing of the activities that must be undertaken to complete the

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 51

December 15, 1994

2,8

27

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

9

16

15

17 18

19 20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

CONSENT DECREE - Page 52 28

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

EPA will set forth in the notice a schedule for performance of such activities consistent with the Consent Decree or require the Settling Defendant to submit a schedule to EPA for approval pursuant to Section XII (Submissions Requiring Agency The Settling Defendant shall perform all activities Approval). described in the notice in accordance with the specifications and schedules established therein, subject to their right to invoke the dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute Resolution).

If EPA concludes, based on the initial or any b. subsequent request for Certification of Completion by the Settling Defendant and after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, that the Work has been fully performed in accordance with this Consent Decree, EPA will so notify the Settling Defendant, in writing.

XVI. EMERGENCY RESPONSE

In the event of any action or occurrence arising in connection with the performance of the Work which causes or threatens a release of Waste Material at or from the Site that constitutes an emergency situation or may present an immediate threat to public health or welfare or the environment, the Settling Defendants shall, subject to Paragraph 55, immediately take all appropriate action to prevent, abate, or minimize such release or threat of release, and shall immediately notify the

Project Coordinators for EPA and the State, or, if they are unavailable, their alternates. If none of these persons is available, the Settling Defendants shall notify the EPA Emergency Response Unit, Region 10. Settling Defendants shall take such actions in consultation with the EPA Project Coordinator, his/her alternate and to the extent consistent with the Memorandum of Agreement between EPA and the State, the State Project Coordinator or his/her alternate or other available authorized representatives and in accordance with all applicable provisions of the Health and Safety Plans, the Contingency Plans, and any other applicable deliverables developed pursuant to the SOWs. In the event that Settling Defendants fail to take appropriate response action as required by this Section, and EPA or, as appropriate, the State take such action instead, Settling Defendants shall reimburse EPA and the State all costs of the response action not inconsistent with the NCP pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs).

55. Nothing in the preceding paragraph or in this

Consent Decree shall be deemed to limit any authority of the

United States, or the State, to take, direct, or order all

appropriate action or to seek an order from the Court to protect

human health and the environment or to prevent, abate, respond

to, or minimize an actual or threatened release of Waste Material

on, at, or from the Site.

25

2

5

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

56. a.

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

23

22

2425

26

27

28

of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall pay the United States the following amounts in the manner set forth below in Paragraph 56.a.4.:

1. Stauffer Entities shall remit to the United States the amount of five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) required by paragraph 8.d. of this Consent Decree.

Within thirty (30) days of the effective date

- 2. Stauffer Entities shall remit to the United States the amount of eight hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$850,000.) required by paragraph 8.e. of this Consent Decree.
- 3. Union Pacific shall remit to the United States the amount of four hundred twenty five thousand dollars (\$425,000.) required by paragraph 9.d. of this Consent Decree.
- 4. These payments to the United States shall be made in the form of a certified check made payable to the "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" and referencing the U.S.A.O. file number the EPA Region and the Site/Spill # 1020 DOJ case number 90-11-3-128I with copies sent to the United States as specified in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions). The Settling Defendants shall forward the certified check to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund P.O. Box 360903M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

b. Within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall pay the State the following amounts in the manner set forth below in Paragraph 56.b.5.:

11.

1.8

- 1. Stauffer Entities shall remit to the State the amount of one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) required by paragraph 8.c. of this Consent Decree.
- 2. Stauffer Entities shall remit to the State the amount of five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) required by paragraph 8.d. of this Consent Decree.
- 3. Union Pacific shall remit to the State the amount of one hundred fifty thousand dollars (\$150,000) required by paragraph 9.c. of this Consent Decree.
- 4. Union Pacific shall remit to the State the amount of four hundred twenty-five thousand dollars (\$425,000) required by paragraph 9.d. of this Consent Decree.
- 5. These payments to the State shall be made in the form of certified checks made payable to the "State of Idaho" and shall be placed by the State in the Bunker Hill Cleanup Trust Fund established by the Trust Fund Declaration of the State of Idaho dated May 2, 1994 (Attachment M, Consent Decree, <u>United States of America v. Asarco, Inc.</u>, No. CV 94-0207-N-HLR (D. Idaho). Such money shall be utilized by the Trustee for the purposes specified in paragraphs 8.c and 8.d. and 9.c. and 9.d of this Consent Decree.
- 57. Union Pacific shall reimburse the United States and the State for all Future Response Costs for the Union Pacific Area not inconsistent with the NCP incurred by the United States and the State. The Stauffer Entities shall reimburse the United States and the State for all Future Response Costs for the A-4 Gypsum subarea not inconsistent with the NCP incurred by the United States and the State.
- a. The United States will send Settling Defendants a bill requiring payment that includes a Superfund Cost Organization Recovery Enhancement System Report on a periodic

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 55

December 15, 1994

basis. Settling Defendants shall make all payments within thirty (30) days of Settling Defendants' receipt of each bill requiring 2 payment, except as otherwise provided in Paragraph 58. 3 Settling Defendants shall make all payments required by this 4 paragraph in the form of a certified check or checks made payable 5 to "EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund" and referencing the 6 , the EPA Region and 7 U.S.A.O. file number Site/Spill # 1020 DOJ case number 90-11-3-128I. The Settling 8 Defendants shall forward the certified check(s) to: 9

> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund P. O. Box 360903M Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15251

and shall send copies of the check(s) to the United States as specified in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).

b. Projected State response costs shall be paid by
Settling Defendants in advance. Each year, no later than April
1, the State shall provide Settling Defendants a detailed written
budget for the following budget year. No later than thirty (30)
days prior to the beginning of each budget year (July 1), the
Settling Defendants shall fund the first two quarters of the
estimated budget. No later than thirty (30) days after the end
of each quarter, the State shall provide Settling Defendants with
an accounting of actual response costs incurred in such quarter.
Payments by Settling Defendants of the third and fourth quarter
estimated budget shall be made no later than thirty (30) days
prior to such quarter and shall be reconciled against actual

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 56

December 15, 1994

28

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

response costs incurred in the preceding quarters. Settling

Defendants shall pay only those costs actually incurred in
implementing oversight activities. Payments required by this
paragraph shall be made by certified check made payable to "Idaho
Department of Health and Welfare" and shall reference this
Consent Decree.

58. A Settling Defendant may contest payment of any Future Response Costs under Paragraph 57(a) if it determines that the United States has made an accounting error or if it alleges that a cost item that is included represents costs that are inconsistent with the NCP or does not relate to the Union Pacific Area or the A-4 Gypsum subarea. Such objection shall be made, in writing, within thirty (30) days of receipt of the bill and must be sent to the United States pursuant to Section XXVII (Notices Any such objection shall specifically identify and Submissions). the contested Future Response Costs and the basis for objection. In the event of an objection, the Settling Defendant shall within the thirty (30) day period pay all uncontested Future Response Costs to the United States in the manner described in Paragraph Simultaneously, the Settling Defendant shall establish an interest bearing escrow account in a federally-insured bank duly chartered in the State of Idaho and remit to that escrow account funds equivalent to the amount of the contested Future Response Costs. The Settling Defendant shall send to the United States, as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions), a copy of

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 57

. December 15, 1994

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

the transmittal letter and check paying the uncontested Future 2 Response Costs, and a copy of the correspondence that establishes and funds the escrow account, including, but not limited to, 4 information containing the identity of the bank and bank account under which the escrow account is established as well as a bank statement showing the initial balance of the escrow account. 6 Simultaneously with establishment of the escrow account, the Settling Defendant shall initiate the Dispute Resolution 8 procedures in Section XX (Dispute Resolution). If the United 9 10 States prevails in the dispute, within five (5) days of the resolution of the dispute, the Settling Defendant shall pay the 11 sums due (with accrued interest) to the United States in the 12 manner described in Paragraph 57. If the Settling Defendant 13 prevails concerning any aspect of the contested costs, the 14 Settling Defendant shall pay that portion of the costs (plus 15 associated accrued interest) for which it did not prevail to the 16 United States in the manner described in Paragraph 57(a); 17 18 Settling Defendant shall be disbursed any balance of the escrow 19 The dispute resolution procedures set forth in this paragraph in conjunction with the procedures set forth in Section 20 XX (Dispute Resolution) shall be the exclusive mechanisms for 21 resolving disputes regarding the Settling Defendant's obligation 22 to reimburse the United States for its Future Response Costs. 23

b. In the event a Settling Defendant contends that payment of estimated response costs to the State in accordance

26

27

24

25

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 58

December 15, 1994

with Paragraph 57(b) would include costs inconsistent with the NCP, costs resulting from an accounting error or costs not relating to the Union Pacific Area or the A-4 Gypsum subarea, the Settling Defendant shall make timely payment of undisputed estimated response costs and, at the same time, specifically identify the disputed costs. The Settling Defendant and the State agree to attempt informal resolution of the dispute during the fourteen (14) day period following notification by the Settling Defendant of its objection. At the end of the fourteen (14) day informal dispute resolution period, Settling Defendant shall either pay the disputed costs or notify the State that Settling Defendant will seek judicial review of the disputed costs on the basis that such costs are either inconsistent with the NCP or the result of an accounting error.

:6

Paragraph 56 are not made within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this Consent Decree or the payments required by Paragraph 57(a) are not made within thirty (30) days of the Settling Defendants' receipt of the bill, Settling Defendants shall pay interest on the unpaid balance at the rate established pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607. The interest on Future Response Costs shall begin to accrue forty-five (45) days after the Settling Defendants' receipt of the bill. Interest shall accrue at the rate specified through the date of the Settling Defendant's payment. Payments of

interest made under this paragraph shall be in addition to such other remedies or sanctions available to Plaintiffs by virtue of Settling Defendants' failure to make timely payments under this Section.

# XVIII. <u>INDEMNIFICATION AND INSURANCE</u>

60. The United States and the State do not assume any liability by entering into this Consent Decree or by virtue of any designation of Settling Defendants as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). Each of the Settling Defendants shall indemnify, save and hold harmless the United States, the State, and their officials, agents, employees, contractors, subcontractors, or representatives for or from any and all claims or causes of action arising from, or on account of, the acts or omissions of that Settling Defendant, and its respective officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any claims arising from any designation of that Settling Defendant as EPA's authorized representatives under Section 104(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e). Further, each Settling Defendant agrees to pay the United States and the State all costs it incurs, including, but not limited to, attorneys fees and other expenses of litigation and settlement arising from, or on account of, claims made

26

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

against the United States and the State based on acts or omissions of that Settling Defendant, its officers, directors, employees, agents, contractors, subcontractors, and any persons acting on its behalf or under its control, in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the United States nor the State shall be held out as a party to any contract entered into by or on behalf of Settling Defendants in carrying out activities pursuant to this Consent Decree. Neither the Settling Defendants nor any such contractor shall be considered an agent of the United States or the State.

3

5

61. Each Settling Defendant waives all claims against the United States and the State for damages or reimbursement or for set-off of any payments made or to be made to the United States or the State, arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between that Settling Defendant and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays. In addition, each of the Settling Defendants shall indemnify and hold harmless the United States and the State with respect to any and all claims for damages or reimbursement arising from or on account of any contract, agreement, or arrangement between that Settling Defendant, and any person for performance of Work on or relating to the Site, including, but not limited to, claims on account of construction delays.

any on-Site Work, the Settling Defendants shall secure, and each 2 shall maintain until the first anniversary of EPA's Certification 3 of Completion of the Remedial Actions pursuant to Paragraph 52(b) 5 of Section XV (Certification of Completion) comprehensive general liability insurance and automobile insurance with limits of ten million dollars, combined single limit naming the United States 7 and the State as additional insured, unless the Settling 8 9 Defendant can provide EPA with written documentation that the Settling Defendant is self-insured at least up to ten million 10 dollars and, in addition, provides EPA with written documentation 11 of the Settling Defendant's financial assurance which satisfies 12 13 14 15 16 17

23 24

18

19

20

21

22

25 26

the requirements of 40 C.F.R. Part 264.143(f). The selfinsurance and financial assurance documentation must be submitted to EPA annually on or before the end of the first quarter of each calendar year. In addition, for the duration of this Consent Decree, the Settling Defendants shall satisfy, or shall ensure that their contractors or subcontractors satisfy, all applicable laws and regulations regarding the provision of worker's compensation insurance for all persons performing the Work on behalf of Settling Defendants in furtherance of this Consent Decree. Prior to commencement of the Work under this Consent Decree, Settling Defendants shall provide to EPA and the State certificates of such insurance and a copy of each insurance policy. Settling Defendants shall resubmit such certificates and

No later than fifteen (15) days before commencing

copies of policies each year on the anniversary of the effective date of this Consent Decree. by evidence satisfactory to EPA and the State that any contractor or subcontractor maintains insurance equivalent to that described above, or insurance covering the same risks but in a lesser amount, then, with respect to that contractor or subcontractor, Settling Defendants need provide only that portion of the insurance described above which is not maintained by the contractor or subcontractor.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

L7

18

.9

20

1:

'2

3

5

6

7

#### FORCE MAJEURE XIX.

If Settling Defendants demonstrate

"Force Majeure", for purposes of this Consent Decree, is defined as any event arising from causes beyond the control of the Settling Defendants or of any entity controlled by Settling Defendants, including, but not limited to, their contractors and subcontractors, that delays or prevents the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree despite Settling Defendants' best efforts to fulfill the obligation. requirement that the Settling Defendants exercise "best efforts to fulfill the obligation" includes using best efforts to anticipate any potential Force Majeure event and best efforts to address the effects of any potential Force Majeure event (1) as it is occurring and (2) following the potential Force Majeure event, such that the delay is minimized to the greatest extent possible. "Force Majeure" does not include financial inability

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD Page 63

December 15, 1994

to complete the Work or a failure to attain the Performance Standards.

64. If any event occurs or has occurred that may delay the performance of any obligation under this Consent Decree, whether or not caused by a Force Majeure event, the Settling Defendants shall notify orally the EPA and State Project Coordinators or, in their absence, their alternates or, in the event these representatives are unavailable, the Director of the Hazardous Waste Division, EPA Region 10, within forty-eight (48) hours of when Settling Defendants first knew or should have known that the event might cause a delay. Within five (5) days thereafter, Settling Defendants shall provide in writing to EPA and the State an explanation and description of the reasons for the delay; the anticipated duration of the delay; all actions taken or to be taken to prevent or minimize the delay; a schedule for implementation of any measures to be taken to prevent or mitigate the delay or the effect of the delay; the Settling Defendants' rationale for attributing such delay to a Force Majeure event if they intend to assert such a claim; and a statement as to whether, in the opinion of the Settling Defendants, such event may cause or contribute to an endangerment to public health, welfare or the environment. The Settling Defendants shall include with any notice all available documentation supporting their claim that the delay was attributable to a Force Majeure. Failure to comply with the

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 64

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

**10** 

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

25

20

21

22

23

24

25

?6

:7

above requirements shall preclude Settling Defendants from asserting any claim of Force Majeure for that event. Settling Defendants shall be deemed to have notice of any circumstance of which their contractors or subcontractors had or should have had notice.

If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review 65. and comment by the State, agrees that the delay or anticipated delay is attributable to a Force Majeure event, the time for performance of the obligations under this Consent Decree that are affected by the Force Majeure event will be extended by EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, for such time as is necessary to complete those obligations. An extension of the time for performance of the obligations affected by the Force Majeure event shall not, of itself, extend the time for performance of any other obligation. If EPA, after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, does not agree that the delay or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a Force Majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendants, in writing, of its decision. after a reasonable opportunity for review and comment by the State, agrees that the delay is attributable to a Force Majeure event, EPA will notify the Settling Defendants in writing of the length of the extension, if any, for performance of the obligations affected by the Force Majeure event.

1 dispute resolution procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute 3 Resolution), the Settling Defendants shall do so no later than fifteen (15) days after receipt of EPA's notice. proceeding, the Settling Defendants shall have the burden of 5 demonstrating by a preponderance of the evidence that the delay 6 7 Я 9 10 11 12 13 14

17

16

15

18 19

21 22

20

23

24

25

26 27

28

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD **CONSENT DECREE - Page 66** 

XX.

December 15,

In any such

or anticipated delay has been or will be caused by a Force Majeure event, that the duration of the delay or the extension sought was or will be warranted under the circumstances, that best efforts were exercised to avoid and mitigate the effects of the delay, and that Settling Defendants complied with the requirements of Paragraphs 63 and 64, above. If the Settling Defendants carry this burden, the delay at issue shall be deemed not to be a violation by Settling Defendants of the affected obligation of this Consent Decree identified to EPA and the Court.

DISPUTE RESOLUTION

Consent Decree, the dispute resolution procedures of this Section

shall be the exclusive mechanism to resolve disputes arising

under or with respect to this Consent Decree. However, the

procedures set forth in this Section shall not apply to actions

by the United States or the State to enforce obligations of the

Unless otherwise expressly provided for in this

If the Settling Defendants elect to invoke the

Settling Defendants that have not been disputed in accordance with this Section.

2

3

5

9

0.

1

2

3

5

6

- 68. Any dispute which arises under or with respect to this Consent Decree shall in the first instance be the subject of informal negotiations between the parties to the dispute. The period for informal negotiations shall be twenty (20) days from the time the dispute arises, unless it is modified by written agreement of the parties to the dispute. The dispute shall be considered to have arisen when one party sends the other parties a written Notice of Dispute.
- 69. In the event that the parties to the dispute cannot resolve a dispute by informal negotiations under the preceding paragraph, then the position advanced by EPA shall be considered binding unless, within ten (10) days after the conclusion of the informal negotiation period, the Settling Defendant who is a party to the dispute invokes the formal dispute resolution procedures of this Section by serving on the United States, the State and the other Settling Defendant a written Statement of Position on the matter in dispute, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis or opinion supporting that position and any supporting documentation relied upon by the Settling Defendant. The Statement of Position shall specify the Settling Defendant's position as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 70 or 71.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- Within fourteen (14) days after receipt of Settling Defendant's Statement of Position, EPA will serve on the State and the Settling Defendant who is a party to the dispute, its Statement of Position, including, but not limited to, any factual data, analysis, or opinion supporting that position and all supporting documentation relied upon by EPA. EPA's Statement of Position shall include a statement as to whether formal dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 70 or 71.
- If there is disagreement between EPA and the Settling Defendant who is a party to the dispute, as to whether dispute resolution should proceed under Paragraph 70 or 71, the parties to the dispute shall follow the procedures set forth in the paragraph determined by EPA to be applicable. However, if the Settling Defendant ultimately appeals to the court to resolve the dispute, the Court shall determine which paragraph is applicable in accordance with the standards of applicability set forth in Paragraphs 70 and 71.
- 70. Formal dispute resolution for disputes pertaining to the selection or adequacy of any response action and all other disputes that are accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be conducted pursuant to the procedures set forth in this paragraph. For purposes of this paragraph, the adequacy of any response action includes, without limitation: (1) the adequacy or appropriateness of plans, procedures to implement plans, or any

other items requiring approval by EPA under this Consent Decree; and (2) the adequacy of the performance of response actions taken pursuant to this Consent Decree. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to allow any dispute by Settling Defendants regarding the validity of the RODs' provisions.

a. An administrative record of the dispute shall be maintained by EPA and shall contain all statements of position, including supporting documentation, submitted pursuant to this paragraph. Where appropriate, EPA may allow submission of supplemental statements of position by the parties to the dispute.

6

7

9

.I

.3

.4

.5

.6

.7

.8

.9

20

1:

:2

;3

24

25

36

:8

- b. The Director of the Hazardous Waste Division, EPA Region 10, will issue a final administrative decision resolving the dispute based on the administrative record described in Paragraph 70(a). This decision shall be binding upon the Settling Defendant who is a party to the dispute, subject only to the right to seek judicial review pursuant to Paragraph 70(c) and (d).
- c. Any administrative decision made by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 70(b) shall be reviewable by this Court, provided that a notice of judicial appeal is filed with the Court by the Settling Defendant who is the party to the dispute and served on the United States, the State, and the other Settling Defendant within ten (10) days of receipt of EPA's decision. The notice of judicial appeal shall include a description of the matter in

dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of this Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's notice of judicial appeal.

- d. In proceedings on any dispute governed by this paragraph, Settling Defendants shall have the burden of demonstrating that the decision of the Hazardous Waste Division Director is arbitrary and capricious or otherwise not in accordance with law. Judicial review of EPA's decision shall be on the administrative record compiled pursuant to Paragraph 70(a).
- 71. Formal dispute resolution for disputes that neither pertain to the selection or adequacy of any response action nor are otherwise accorded review on the administrative record under applicable principles of administrative law shall be governed by this paragraph.
- a. Following receipt of Settling Defendant's
  Statement of Position submitted pursuant to Paragraph 69, the
  Director of the Hazardous Waste Division, EPA Region 10, will
  issue a final decision resolving the dispute. The Hazardous
  Waste Division Director's decision shall be binding on the
  Settling Defendant unless, within ten (10) days of receipt of the
  decision, the Settling Defendant who is a party to the dispute
  files with the Court and serves on the United States, the State

1.0

1.3

and the other Settling Defendant a notice of judicial appeal setting forth the matter in dispute, the efforts made by the parties to resolve it, the relief requested, and the schedule, if any, within which the dispute must be resolved to ensure orderly implementation of the Consent Decree. The United States may file a response to Settling Defendant's notice of judicial appeal.

.0

:2

L4

**L8** 

- b. Notwithstanding Paragraph R of Section I
  (Background) of this Consent Decree, judicial review of any
  dispute governed by this paragraph shall be governed by
  applicable provisions of law.
- 72. The invocation of formal dispute resolution procedures under this Section shall not extend, postpone, or affect in any way any obligation of the Settling Defendants under this Consent Decree not directly in dispute, unless EPA or the Court agrees otherwise. Stipulated penalties with respect to the disputed matter shall continue to accrue but payment shall be stayed pending resolution of the dispute as provided in Paragraph 82. Notwithstanding the stay of payment, stipulated penalties shall accrue from the first day of noncompliance with any applicable provision of this Consent Decree. In the event that the Settling Defendant does not prevail on the disputed issue, stipulated penalties shall be assessed and paid as provided in Section XXI (Stipulated Penalties).

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 71

73. The Settling Defendants shall be liable for

requirements of this Consent Decree specified below which pertain

"Compliance" by the Settling Defendants shall include completion

stipulated penalties in the amounts set forth in Paragraphs 74

and 75 to the United States for failure to comply with the

to them, unless excused under Section XIX (Force Majeure).

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25

26

28

of the activities under this Consent Decree or any work plan or other plan approved under this Consent Decree identified below in accordance with all applicable requirements of law, this Consent Decree, the SOWs, and any plans or other documents approved by 12 EPA pursuant to this Consent Decree and within the specified time schedules established by and approved under this Consent Decree.

74. The following stipulated penalties shall be payable per violation per day to the United States for any noncompliance identified in Subparagraph b:

| Penalty Per Violation Per Day | Period of Noncompliance |  |  |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| \$1,000                       | 1st - 14th day          |  |  |
| \$5,000                       | 15th - 30th day         |  |  |
| \$10,000                      | 31st day and beyond     |  |  |

#### b. <u>Activities/Deliverables</u>

- -Submission of Work Plan(s) in compliance with the SOWs.
- -Initiation of remediation construction activities in compliance with the SOWs and approved Work Plans.
- -Completion of the Remedial Action in compliance with the SOWs and the approved Work Plans.

:6

:7

75. For all other requirements of this Consent Decree, stipulated penalties shall accrue in the following amounts:

| Penalty Per Violation Per Day | Period of Noncompliance |
|-------------------------------|-------------------------|
| \$500.00                      | 1st - 14th day          |
| \$1,000.00                    | 15th - 30th day         |
| \$5,000,00                    | 31st day and heyond     |

- 76. In the event that EPA assumes performance of a portion or all of the Work pursuant to Paragraph 92 of Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs), Settling Defendants shall be liable for an additional stipulated penalty in the amount of three (3) times the cost incurred by EPA to perform the Work or \$100,000.00, whichever is less.
- 77. Except as provided in Paragraph 44, all penalties shall begin to accrue on the day after the complete performance is due or the day a violation occurs, and shall continue to accrue through the final day of the correction of the noncompliance or completion of the activity. Nothing herein shall prevent the simultaneous accrual of separate penalties for separate violations of this Consent Decree.
- 78. In its sole, unreviewable discretion, EPA may waive all or a portion of the stipulated penalties due under this Section.
- 79. Following EPA's determination that Settling
  Defendants have failed to comply with a requirement of this
  Consent Decree, EPA may give Settling Defendants written

notification of the same and describe the noncompliance. EPA may send the Settling Defendants a written demand for the payment of the penalties. However, penalties shall accrue as provided in Paragraph 77 regardless of whether EPA has notified the Settling Defendants of a violation.

- section shall be due and payable within thirty (30) days of the Settling Defendants' receipt of a demand for payment of penalties, unless Settling Defendants invoke the Dispute Resolution procedures under Section XX (Dispute Resolution). All payments under this Section shall be paid by certified check made payable to "EPA Hazardous Substances Superfund," shall be mailed to US Environmental Protection Agency, EPA Hazardous Substance Superfund, P.O. Box 360903M, Pittsburgh, PA 15251 and shall reference the U.S.A.O file number \_\_\_\_\_\_, the EPA Region and Site/Spill ID #1020, and DOJ case number 90-11-3-1281. Copies of check(s) paid pursuant to this Section, and any accompanying transmittal letter(s), shall be sent to the United States as provided in Section XXVII (Notices and Submissions).
- 81. The payment of penalties shall not alter in any way Settling Defendants' obligation to complete the performance of the Work required under this Consent Decree.
- 82. Penalties shall continue to accrue as provided in Paragraph 77 during any dispute resolution period, but need not be paid until the following:

- a. If the dispute is resolved by agreement or by a decision of EPA that is not appealed to this Court, accrued penalties determined to be owing shall be paid to EPA within fifteen (15) days of the agreement or the receipt of EPA's decision or order;
- b. If the dispute is appealed to this Court and the United States prevails in whole or in part, Settling

  Defendants shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the

  Court to be owed to EPA within sixty (60) days of receipt of the

  Court's decision or order, except as provided in Subparagraph c

  below;
- any Party, Settling Defendants shall pay all accrued penalties determined by the District Court to be owing to the United States into an interest-bearing escrow account within sixty (60) days of receipt of the Court's decision or order. Penalties shall be paid into this account as they continue to accrue, at least every sixty (60) days. Within fifteen (15) days of receipt of the final appellate court decision, the escrow agent shall pay the balance of the account to EPA or to Settling Defendants to the extent that they prevail.
- 83. a. If Settling Defendants fail to pay stipulated penalties when due, the United States may institute proceedings to collect the penalties, as well as interest. Settling Defendants shall pay interest on the unpaid balance, which shall

Δ

- b. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the United States or the State to seek any other remedies or sanctions available by virtue of Settling Defendants' violation of this Decree or of the statutes and regulations upon which it is based, including, but not limited to, penalties pursuant to Section 122(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9622(1).
- 84. No payments made under this Section shall be tax deductible for Federal or State tax purposes.

## XXII. COVENANTS NOT TO SUE BY PLAINTIFFS

85. a. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and payments that will be made by the Stauffer Entities under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 86, 87, and 91 of this Section, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against the Stauffer Entities pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607(a), and Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, relating to the Site. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and payments that will be made by the Stauffer Entities under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 88, 89,

and 91 of this Section, the State covenants not to sue or to take action against the Stauffer Entities pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), the Hazardous Waste Management Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-4401, et. seq., and the Environmental Protection and Health Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-101, et. seq., relating to the Site. With respect to all past costs at the Site, and past and future liability at the Site in areas outside the NIPC Area, the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amounts set forth in Paragraph 8(d) of the Consent Decree. With respect to the ICP, the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amounts set forth in Paragraph 8(c). With respect to the Stauffer Entities' future liability for the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea, the covenant not to sue shall be effective upon payment of the amount in Paragraph 8(e). With respect to the Stauffer Entities future liability for the A-4 Gypsum subarea, the covenant not to sue shall take effect for the Remedial Action upon Certification of Completion by EPA pursuant to Paragraph 52(b) of Section XV (Certification of Completion) of the Remedial Action. These covenants not to sue are conditioned upon the complete and satisfactory performance by the Stauffer Entities of their obligations under this Consent Decree. The covenants not to sue extend only to the Stauffer Entities and, with respect to liability derived from the Stauffer Entities, to its successors and assigns, and do not extend to any other person.

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 77

2

3

.2

.3

.5

-8

۔9

30

21

22

?3

24

25

26

27

28

In consideration of the actions that will be performed and payments that will be made by Union Pacific under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 86, 87, and 91 of this Section, the United States covenants not to sue or to take administrative action against Union Pacific pursuant to Sections 106 and 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606 and 9607(a), and Section 7003 of RCRA, 42 U.S.C. § 6973, relating to the Site. In consideration of the actions that will be performed and payments that will be made by Union Pacific under the terms of the Consent Decree, and except as specifically provided in Paragraphs 88, 89, and 91 of this Section, the State covenants not to sue or to take action against Union Pacific pursuant to Section 107(a) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(a), the Hazardous Waste Management Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-4401, et. seq., and the Environmental Protection and Health Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-101, et. seq., relating to the Site. With respect to all past costs at the Site, and past and future liability at the Site in areas outside the Union Pacific Area, the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amounts set forth in Paragraph 9(d) of the Consent Decree. With respect to the ICP, the covenant not to sue shall take effect upon payment of the amounts set forth in Paragraph 9(c). With respect to Union Pacific's future liability for the Union Pacific Area, the covenant not to sue shall take effect for the Remedial Action upon Certification of Completion by EPA pursuant

56

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 78

Ż

3

4

5

to Paragraph 52(b) of Section XV (Certification of Completion) of the Remedial Action. These covenants not to sue are conditioned upon the complete and satisfactory performance by Union Pacific of its obligations under this Consent Decree. These covenants not to sue extend only to Union Pacific and, with respect to liability derived from Union Pacific, to its successors and assigns, and do not extend to any other person.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent

Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is
without prejudice to any right to institute proceedings in this
action or in a new action, or issue an administrative order
seeking to compel the Settling Defendants (1) to perform further
response actions relating to their Respective Area; or (2) to
reimburse the United States for additional costs of response
attributable to their Respective Area, if, prior to Certification
of Completion of the Remedial Action or prior to issuance of a
notice by EPA that the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea
remediation is completed,

- (i) conditions within the Respective Area, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered, or
- (ii) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicate that the Remedial

Action or the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea remediation is not protective of human health and the environment.

## 87. United States Post-Certification Reservations

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent
Decree, the United States reserves, and this Consent Decree is
without prejudice to any right to institute proceedings in this
action or in a new action, or issue an administrative order
seeking to compel the Settling Defendants (1) to perform further
response actions relating to their Respective Area; or (2) to
reimburse the United States for additional costs of response
attributable to their Respective Area, if, subsequent to
Certification of Completion of a Remedial Action or subsequent to
issuance of a notice by EPA that the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer
Plant subarea remediation is completed,

- (i) conditions within the Respective Area, previously unknown to EPA, are discovered, or
- (ii) information, previously unknown to EPA, is received in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicate that the Remedial Action or the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea remediation is not protective of human health and the environment.

.

O

1

2

3

4

5

88.

Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent

State of Idaho's Pre-Certification Reservations

Decree, the State reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to any right it may have, jointly with, or separately from the United States, to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action pursuant to the State's authorities under Section 107 of CERCLA or applicable State law, including the Hazardous Waste Management Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-4401, et seq., and, the Environmental Protection and Health Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-101, et seq., seeking (1) to compel Settling Defendants to perform further response actions relating to their Respective Area, or (2) to compel Settling Defendants to reimburse the State for additional costs of response attributable to their Respective Area, if, prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action or prior to issuance of a notice by EPA that the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea remediation is completed,

- (i) conditions within the Respective Area, previously unknown to the State, are discovered, or
- (ii) information, previously unknown to the State, is received in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicate that the Remedial Action or the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea

6

10

11 12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

remediation is not protective of human health and the

89. State of Idaho's Post-Certification Reservations Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the State reserves, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to any right it may have, jointly with, or separately from the United States, to institute proceedings in this action or in a new action pursuant to the State's authorities under Section 107 of CERCLA or applicable State law, including the Hazardous Waste Management Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-4401, et seq., and, the Environmental Protection and Health Act, Idaho Code Section § 39-101 et seq., seeking (1) to compel Settling Defendants to perform further response actions relating to their Respective Area, or (2) to compel Settling Defendants to reimburse the State for additional costs of response attributable to their Respective Area, if subsequent to Certification of Completion of a Remedial Action or subsequent to issuance of a notice by EPA that the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea remediation is completed,:

- (i) conditions within the Respective Area, previously unknown to the State, are discovered, or
- (ii) information, previously unknown to the State, is received in whole or in part,

and these previously unknown conditions or information together with any other relevant information indicate that the Remedial

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

CONSENT DECREE - Page 82

Action or the Phosphoric Acid/Fertilizer Plant subarea remediation is not protective of human health and the environment.

2

3

5

8

9

L1

12

\_3

.4

.5

.6

7

8

9

1

- 90. For purposes of Paragraphs 86 and 88, the information and the conditions known to EPA and the State shall include only that information and those conditions set forth in the RODs for the Site and the Administrative Record supporting the RODs. For purposes of Paragraph 87 and 89, the information and the conditions known to EPA and the State shall include only that information and those conditions set forth in the RODs, the Administrative Record supporting the RODs, and any information received by EPA pursuant to the requirements of this Consent Decree prior to Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action, or, as to the PAFP subarea, prior to issuance of notice by EPA that the PAFP Remedial Action is completed.
- 91. General reservations of rights. Notwithstanding any other provision of this Consent Decree, the covenants not to sue set forth above do not pertain to any matters other than those expressly specified in Paragraph 85. The United States and the State reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, all rights against Settling Defendants with respect to all other matters, including but not limited to, the following:
  - (1) claims based on a failure by Settling Defendants to meet a requirement under this Consent Decree;
  - (2) liability arising from the past, present, or future disposal, release, or threat of release of Waste Materials outside of the Site;

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

(7)

(8)

92.

criminal liability;

Remedial Action;

liability for damages for injury to, destruction of, or loss of natural resources, including the

destruction, or loss resulting from such a release:

liability for response costs that have been or may

liability for violations of federal or state law

liability for releases or threatened releases of hazardous substances resulting from activities of

the Settling Defendants in or affecting the Site

In the event EPA, after consultation with the State,

which occur during or after implementation of the

reasonable costs of assessing such injury,

be incurred by any natural resource trustees;

liability for response costs incurred and/or

response actions taken outside of the Site;

after entry of the Consent Decree.

determines that Settling Defendants have failed to implement any

provisions of their Work in an adequate or timely manner, EPA or,

upon request by EPA, the State, may perform any and all portions

of the Work as EPA determines necessary. Settling Defendants may

invoke the procedures set forth in Section XX (Dispute

Resolution) to dispute EPA's determination that the Settling

Defendants failed to implement a provision of the Work in an

resolved on the administrative record. Costs incurred by the

United States or the State in performing the Work pursuant to

this paragraph shall be considered Future Response Costs that

adequate or timely manner as arbitrary and capricious or

- 3
- 5
- 6
- 8
- 9
- 10
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 20
- 21
- 22
- 23
- 24
- 25
- 26
- 27
- 28

otherwise not in accordance with law.

Such dispute shall be

Settling Defendants shall pay pursuant to Section XVII (Reimbursement of Response Costs).

3

4

5

3

5

93. Notwithstanding any other provisions of this Consent Decree, the United States and the State retain all authority and reserve all rights to take any and all response actions authorized by law.

## XXIII. COVENANTS BY SETTLING DEFENDANTS

Except as limited in this paragraph, Settling Defendants hereby covenant not to sue and agree not to assert any claims or causes of action against the United States, the State or any Idaho county, city, or local governmental entity with respect to the Site or this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, any direct or indirect claim for reimbursement from the Hazardous Substance Superfund (established pursuant to the Internal Revenue Code, 26 U.S.C. § 9507) through CERCLA Sections 106(b)(2), 111, 112, 113, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9606(b)(2), 9611, 9612, 9613 or any other provision of law, any claim against the United States, including any department, agency or instrumentality of the United States under CERCLA Section 107 or 113 related to the Site, any claim against the State or any Idaho county, city or local governmental entity under CERCLA Section 107 or 113 related to the Site or any claims arising out of response activities at the Site. However, the Settling Defendants reserve, and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, actions against the

United States, the State or any Idaho county, city or local government entity based on negligent actions taken directly by such entities (not including oversight of or approval of the Settling Defendants' plans or activities) that are brought pursuant to any statute other than CERCLA and for which the waiver of sovereign immunity is found in a statute other than CERCLA to the extent such claim exists or may exist in the In addition, the Settling Defendants reserve, and this future. Consent Decree is without prejudice to, contribution actions. against the United States or the State or any department, agency or instrumentality thereof, or any Idaho county, city or local government entity whether or not still in existence, under CERCLA Sections 107(a) and 113(f)(1), 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(a) and 9613(f)(1), for natural resource damages. The Settling Defendants also reserve and this Consent Decree is without prejudice to, actions or claims against the State or any Idaho county, city, or local government entity under Section 107(a) and 133(f)(1) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9607(a) and 9613(f)(1), for response costs incurred by Settling Defendants unrelated to implementation of the RODs as a result of activities at the Site taken by such government entity after the effective date of this Consent Decree (not including the activities of any such government entity pursuant to this Consent Decree). Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be deemed to constitute

:5 :6

2

3

5

7.

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

:4

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 86

preauthorization of a claim within the meaning of Section 111 of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9611, or 40 C.F.R. § 300.700(d).

2

3

5

5

6

95. Each Settling Defendant hereby expressly covenants not to sue any other Settling Defendant and its officers, directors, parents, successors, assigns, subsidiaries, employees or agents with respect to matters covered by this Consent Decree, except for claims premised on the failure of a Settling Defendant to perform its obligations under this Consent Decree or under any agreement among some or all Settling Defendants which addresses responsibilities pertaining to this Consent Decree.

## XXIV. EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT; CONTRIBUTION PROTECTION

96. Nothing in this Consent Decree shall be construed to create any rights in, or grant any cause of action to, any person not a party to this Consent Decree. The preceding sentence shall not be construed to waive or nullify any rights that any person not a signatory to this Consent Decree may have under applicable law. Each of the Parties expressly reserves any and all rights (including, but not limited to, any right to contribution), defenses, claims, demands, and causes of action which each party may have with respect to any matter, transaction, or occurrence relating in any way to the Site against any person not a party hereto. Nothing in this paragraph shall negate Settling Defendants' covenant not to sue any Idaho county, city, or local government entity as provided in Paragraph 94.

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 87

1.8

28

97. With regard to claims for contribution against
Settling Defendants for matters addressed in this Consent Decree,
the Parties hereto agree that the Settling Defendants are
entitled to such protection from contribution actions or claims
as is provided by CERCLA Section 113(f)(2), 42 U.S.C.
§ 9613(f)(2).

- 98. The Settling Defendants agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought by them for matters related to the Site or this Consent Decree they will notify the United States and the State, in writing, no later than sixty (60) days prior to the initiation of such suit or claim.
- 99. The Settling Defendants also agree that with respect to any suit or claim for contribution brought against them for matters related to the Site or this Consent Decree they will notify, in writing, the United States and the State within ten (10) days of service of the complaint on them. In addition, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and the State within ten (10) days of service or receipt of any Motion for Summary Judgment and within ten (10) days of receipt of any order from a court setting a case for trial.
- 100. In any subsequent administrative or judicial proceeding initiated by the United States or the State for injunctive relief, recovery of response costs, or other appropriate relief relating to the Site, Settling Defendants shall not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim

based upon the principles of waiver, res judicata, collateral estoppel, issue preclusion, claim-splitting, or other defenses based upon any contention that the claims raised by the United States or the State in the subsequent proceeding were or should have been brought in the instant case; provided, however, that nothing in this paragraph affects the enforceability of the covenants not to sue set forth in Section XXII (Covenants Not to Sue by Plaintiffs).

### XXV. ACCESS TO INFORMATION

Defendants shall provide to EPA and the State, upon request, copies of all documents and information within their possession or control or that of their contractors or agents relating to the Work or to the implementation of this Consent Decree, including, but not limited to, sampling, analysis, chain of custody records, manifests, trucking logs, receipts, reports, sample traffic routing, correspondence, or other documents or information related to the Work. Settling Defendants shall also make available to EPA and the State, for purposes of investigation, information gathering, or testimony, relating to the Work or implementation of the Consent Decree their employees, agents, or representatives with knowledge of relevant facts concerning the performance of the Work.

26

27

24

25

Settling Defendants may assert business confidentiality claims covering part or all of the documents or information submitted to Plaintiffs under this Consent Decree to the extent permitted by and in accordance with Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9604(e)(7), and 40 C.F.R. § 2.203(b). Documents or information determined to be confidential by EPA will be afforded the protection specified in 40 C.F.R. Part 2, If no claim of confidentiality accompanies documents Subpart B. or information when they are submitted to EPA and the State, or if EPA has notified Settling Defendants that the documents or information are not confidential under the standards of Section 104(e)(7) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9607(e)(7) the public may be given access to such documents or information without further notice to Settling Defendants.

The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege federal law. in lieu of providing documents, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the contents of the document, record, or information: and (6) the privilege asserted

by Settling Defendants. The Plaintiffs retain the right to challenge any such claim of privilege. No documents, reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

3

4

5

8

9

LO

11

12

.5

.6

.7

8

.9

0

5

103. No claim of confidentiality shall be made with respect to any data, including, but not limited to, all sampling, analytical, monitoring, hydrogeologic, scientific, chemical, or engineering data, or any data or factual information evidencing conditions related to the Work or implementation of the Consent Decree contained in otherwise privileged documents.

# XXVI. RETENTION OF RECORDS

years after the Settling Defendants' receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph 52(b) of Section XV (Certification of Completion of the Remedial Action), each Settling Defendant shall preserve and retain all records and documents now in its possession or control or which come into its possession or control that relate in any manner to the performance of the Work or that relate to the liability of any person for response actions conducted and to be conducted at the Site, regardless of any corporate retention policy to the contrary. Until ten (10) years after the Settling Defendants' receipt of EPA's notification pursuant to Paragraph 52(b) of

Section XV (Certification of Completion), Settling Defendants shall also instruct their contractors and agents to preserve all documents, records, and information of whatever kind, nature or description relating to the performance of the Work.

2

3

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

36

**?7** 

105. At the conclusion of this document retention period, Settling Defendants shall notify the United States and the State at least ninety (90) days prior to the destruction of any such records or documents, and, upon request by the United States or the State, Settling Defendants shall deliver any such records or documents to EPA or the State. The Settling Defendants may assert that certain documents, records and other information are privileged under the attorney-client privilege or any other privilege recognized by federal law. If the Settling Defendants assert such a privilege, they shall provide the Plaintiffs with the following: (1) the title of the document, record, or information; (2) the date of the document, record, or information; (3) the name and title of the author of the document, record, or information; (4) the name and title of each addressee and recipient; (5) a description of the subject of the document, record, or information: and (6) the privilege asserted by Settling Defendants. The Plaintiffs retain the right to challenge any such claim of privilege. No documents, reports, or other information created or generated pursuant to the requirements of the Consent Decree shall be withheld on the grounds that they are privileged.

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 92

3

5

individually, that it has not altered, mutilated, discarded, destroyed or otherwise disposed of any records, documents, or other information relating to its potential liability regarding the Site since notification of potential liability by the United States or the State or the filing of suit against it regarding the Site and that it has fully complied with any and all EPA requests for information pursuant to Section 104(e) and 122(e) of CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. §§ 9604(e) and 9622(e).

106. Each Settling Defendant hereby certifies,

#### XXVII. NOTICES AND SUBMISSIONS

107. Whenever, under the terms of this Consent Decree, written notice is required to be given or a report or other document is required to be sent by one party to another, it shall be directed to the individuals at the addresses specified below, unless those individuals or their successors give notice of a change to the other parties in writing. All notices and submissions shall be considered effective upon receipt, unless otherwise provided. Written notice as specified herein shall constitute complete satisfaction of any written notice requirement of the Consent Decree with respect to the United-States, EPA, the State, and the Settling Defendants, respectively.

```
As to the United States:
    Chief, Environmental Enforcement Section
    Environment and Natural Resources Division
 3
    U.S. Department of Justice
    P.O. Box 7611
    Ben Franklin Station
 4
    Washington, D.C. 20044
 5
    Re: DJ #90-11-3-128I
              and
 6
    Director, Waste Management Division
    United States Environmental Protection Agency
 8
    Region 10
    1200 Sixth Avenue, HW-113
 9
    Seattle, Washington 98101
10
    As to EPA:
11
    Director, Waste Management Division
12
    United States Environmental Protection Agency
    Region 10
    1200 Sixth Avenue, HW-113
13
    Seattle, Washington 98101
14
    Regional Counsel
15
    EPA Office of Regional Counsel
    United States Environmental Protection Agency
16
    Region 10
    1200 Sixth Avenue, HW-113
17
    Seattle, Washington 98101
18
    Nick Ceto
    EPA Project Coordinator
19
    United States Environmental Protection Agency
    Region 10
20
    1200 Sixth Avenue, HW-113
    Seattle, Washington
21
    As to the State:
22
23
    Curt Fransen
    Office of Attorney General
    State of Idaho
    1410 N. Hilton
    2nd Floor
   Boise, Idaho 83706
26
   BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD
    CONSENT DECREE - Page 94
```

```
State Project Coordinator
   Idaho Department of Health & Welfare
   Division of Environmental Quality
   1410 North Hilton
3
   Boise, Idaho 83720-9000
   As to the Settling Defendants:
   Union Pacific
   Nancy A. Roberts
   Environmental Counsel
   1416 Dodge Street, Room 830
   Omaha, NE 68179-0830
   (402) 271-4752
   (402) 271-5610 (FAX)
   Union Pacific
   Robert D. Markworth
   Manager, Environmental Site Remediation
   1416 Dodge Street, Room 930
   Omaha, NE 68179-0930
(402) 271-4054
   (402) 271-4461 (FAX)
   Rhone-Poulenc, Inc.
   George S. Goodridge
   Senior Environmental Attorney
   Rhone-Poulenc, Inc.
   CN 5266
   Princeton, New Jersey
   (908) 821-3533
   (908) 821-2787
   Stauffer Management Company
   Brian A. Spiller
   President
   Stauffer Management Company
   1800 Concord Pike
   Wilmington, Delaware
   (302) 886-5501
   (302) 886-2952 (FAX)
3
```

As to EPA Project Coordinator: Nick Ceto EPA Project Coordinator 3 United States Environmental Protection Agency Region 10 1200 Sixth Avenue, HW-113 Seattle, Washington 98101 (206) 553-8659 (206) 553-0124 (FAX) 6 As to State Project Coordinator: State Project Coordinator Idaho Department of Health & Welfare Division of Environmental Quality 1410 North Hilton Boise, Idaho 83720-9000 (208) 334-5860 (208) 334-0576 (FAX) 11 As to Settling Defendants' Project Coordinators Union Pacific Project Coordinator 13 Robert D. Markworth 14 Manager, Environmental Site Remediation 1416 Dodge Street, Room 930 15 Omaha, NE 68179-0930 (402) 271-4054 (402) 271-4461 (FAX) 16 17 Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. and Stauffer Management Company Carol A. Dickerson Project Coordinator 18 ZENECA Inc. 19 Environmental Services & Operations 1800 Concord Pike 20 Wilmington, Delaware 19897 Telephone: (302) 886-5123 21 Facsimile: (302) 886-5933 22 XXVIII. EFFECTIVE DATE 23 108. The effective date of this Consent Decree shall be 24 the date upon which this Consent Decree is entered by the Court, 25 except as otherwise provided herein. 26 BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD 27 CONSENT DECREE - Page 96 December 15, 1994 28

.0 .1

.3

5

7 8

9

1

2

3

7

4 "Attachment H" is the "Attachment I" is the state of th

subject matter of this Consent Decree and the Settling Defendants for the duration of the performance of the terms and provisions of this Consent Decree for the purpose of enabling any of the Parties to apply to the Court at any time for such further order, direction, and relief as may be necessary or appropriate for the construction or modification of this Consent Decree, or to effectuate or enforce compliance with its terms, or to resolve disputes in accordance with Section XX (Dispute Resolution) hereof.

# XXX. ATTACHMENTS

110. The following attachments are attached to and incorporated into and made an enforceable part of this Consent Decree; provided, however, it is understood and agreed that the Stauffer Entities draft RDR and the Union Pacific draft RAWP are draft documents and must be finalized in accordance with the Consent Decree prior to becoming enforceable parts of this Decree:

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment A" is the RODs.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment B" is the map of the Bunker Hill Superfund Site.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment C" is the map for the NIPC Area and subareas.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment D" is the map for the Union Pacific Area.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment E" is the Stauffer Entities SOW.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment F" is the Union Pacific SOW.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment G" is the Stauffer Entities draft RDR.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment H" is the Union Pacific draft RAWP.

<sup>&</sup>quot;Attachment I" is the MOA between EPA and the State.

4

5

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

36

27

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 98 28

111. Settling Defendants shall cooperate with EPA and the State in providing information regarding the Work to the public. As requested by EPA or the State, Settling Defendants shall participate in the preparation of such information for dissemination to the public and in public meetings which may be held or sponsored by EPA or the State to explain activities at or relating to the Site.

### XXXII. MODIFICATION

- 112. Schedules specified in the SOWs and other deliverables for completion of the Work may be modified by agreement of EPA, in consultation with the State, and the Settling Defendants. All such modifications shall be made in writing.
- 113. No material modifications shall be made to the SOWs without written notification to and written approval of the United States, the Settling Defendants and the Court. providing its approval to any modification, the United States will provide the State with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification. Modifications to the SOWs that do not materially alter those documents may be made by written agreement between EPA, after providing the State with a reasonable opportunity to review and comment on the proposed modification, and the Settling Defendants.

114. Nothing in this Decree shall be deemed to alter the Court's power to enforce, supervise, or approve modifications to this Consent Decree.

2

## XXXIII. LODGING AND OPPORTUNITY FOR PUBLIC COMMENT

- 115. This Consent Decree shall be lodged with the Court for a period of not less than thirty (30) days for public notice and comment in accordance with Section 122(d)(2) of CERCLA,
  42 U.S.C. § 9622(d)(2), and 28 C.F.R. § 50.7. The United States and the State reserve the right to withdraw or withhold their consent if the comments regarding the Consent Decree disclose facts or considerations which indicate that the Consent Decree is inappropriate, improper, or inadequate. Settling Defendants consent to the entry of this Consent Decree in the form presented without further notice.
- approve this Consent Decree in the form presented, this agreement is voidable at the sole discretion of any Party and the terms of the agreement may not be used as evidence in any litigation between the Parties.

## XXXIV. <u>SIGNATORIES/SERVICE</u>

117. Each undersigned representative of a Settling

Defendant to this Consent Decree and the Assistant Attorney

General for Environment and Natural Resources of the Department

1 of Ju
2 fully
3 Conse
4 docum
5 entry
7 prov:
8 notin
9 supper
10
11 attace

18 19

17

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 .

28

of Justice and the State signatory certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this document.

entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified the Settling Defendants, in writing, that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.

attached signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants hereby agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons.

| 30 | ORDERED | THIS | <br>DAY | OF | <br>19 |
|----|---------|------|---------|----|--------|
|    | 1       |      |         |    |        |

United States District Judge

of Justice and the State signatory certifies that he or she is

fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this

entry of this Consent Decree by this Court or to challenge any

Consent Decree and to execute and legally bind such party to this

118. Each Settling Defendant hereby agrees not to oppose

( )

document.

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 100

provision of this Consent Decree unless the United States has notified the Settling Defendants, in writing, that it no longer supports entry of the Consent Decree.

attached signature page, the name, address and telephone number of an agent who is authorized to accept service of process by mail on behalf of that party with respect to all matters arising under or relating to this Consent Decree. Settling Defendants hereby agree to accept service in that manner and to waive the formal service requirements set forth in Rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and any applicable local rules of this Court, including, but not limited to, service of a summons.

SO ORDERED THIS 12th DAY OF September, 1995.

United States District Judge

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Stauffer Management Company; Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. and Union Pacific Railroad Company, relating to the Bunker Hill Superfund Site. FOR THE UNITED STATES OF AMERICA S Date: Lois J. Schiffer Assistant Attorney General Environment and Natural Resources Division U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 Peter Mounsey and Thomas Swegle Environmental Enforcement Section Environment and Natural Resources 3 Division U.S. Department of Justice Washington, D.C. 20530 Assistant United States Attorney District of Idaho U.S. Department of Justice 0

3

28

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States v. Stauffer Management Company; Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. and Union Pacific Railroad Company</u>, relating to the Bunker Hill Superfund Site.

FOR THE UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Steven A. Herman
Assistant Administrator for
Enforcement and Compliance Assurance
U.S. Environmental Protection
Agency
401 M Street, S.W.
Washington, D.C. 20460

Chuck Clarke

Regional Administrator, Region 10 U.S. Environmental Protection Agency 1200 Sixth Avenue Seattle, Washington 98101

Cynthia L Mackey

Assistant Regional Counsel

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency

Region 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, SO-155

Seattle, Washington 98101

2

3

4

5

7

3

9

LO

Ll

12

13

-5

.6

8

9

.0

2

3

5

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States v. Stauffer Management Company</u>; <u>Rhone-Poulenc. Inc. and Union Pacific Railroad Company</u>, relating to the Bunker Hill Superfund Site.

FOR THE STATE OF IDAHO

Date: 12/28/94

Governor State of Idaho State House Boise, Idaho 83720

Curt A. Fransen

Deputy Attorney General
Office of Attorney General
State of Idaho

1410 N. Hilton 2nd Floor Boise, Idaho 83706

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 103

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD CONSENT DECREE - Page 104

25

26

28

-52-24 · 10.00 · optoportant

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of <u>United States v. Stauffer Management Company: Rhone-Poulenc. Inc. and Union Pacific Railroad Company</u>, relating to the Bunker Hill Superfund Site.

FOR STAUFFER MANAGEMENT COMPANY

Date: /2/23/94

5

6

7

8

9

0

2

3

Brian A. Spiller

President

Stauffer Management Company

1800 Concord Pike

Wilmington, Delaware 19897

Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed Party:

Brian A. Spiller President Stauffer Management Company 1800 Concord Pike Wilmington, Delaware 19897

THE UNDERSIGNED PARTIES enter into this Consent Decree in the matter of United States v. Stauffer Management Company; Rhone-Poulenc, Inc and Union Pacific Railroad, relating to the Bunker 2 Hill Superfund Site. 3 5 FOR RHONE-POULENC, INC 6 7 8 Date: George S. Goodridge Senior Environmental Attorney 9 Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. CN 5266 10 Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5266 11 12 Agent Authorized to Accept Service on Behalf of Above-signed 13 Party: George S. Goodridge Senior Environmental Attorney 14 Rhone-Poulenc, Inc. 15 CN 5266 Princeton, New Jersey 08543-5266 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26

December 15, 1994

BUNKER HILL STAUFFER/UNION PACIFIC RAILROAD

CONSENT DECREE - Page 106

27